S. Fritzsche GSI Darmstadt and MPIK Heidelberg Bonn, 17th September 2007

Classical (electronic) computers will reach fundamental limits quite soon ...



*Quelle: 'International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors 2001"

60 .. 90nm generation transistor in current processors...



S. Fritzsche GSI Darmstadt and MPIK Heidelberg Bonn, 17th September 2007

Classical (electronic) computers will reach fundamental limits quite soon ...



*Quelle: 'International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors 2001"

Hiture Educates But ann aca Wennika Do- sol

R.P. Feynman Int. J. Theoret. Phys., 21 (1982) 467

"Quantum Mechanics cannot be simulated efficiently on a classical PC !"





Quantum properties such as superposition, entanglement, uncertainty, and interference have led to new brand of theory, where computational and communication processes are based on fundamental physics.

S. Fritzsche GSI Darmstadt and MPIK Heidelberg Bonn, 17th September 2007

Outline of this talk:

- i) Short reminder: qubits and quantum registers
- ii) Resources of quantum computing
- iii) The FEYNMAN program: Quantum measures and noise models
- iv) Quantum entanglement in atomic photoionization processes
- v) Two-photon decay: Photon pairs with tailor-made entanglement

Qubits and N-qubit quantum registers

-- rapid growth in effort and complexity





Qubits and N-qubit quantum registers

-- rapid growth in effort and complexity



-- rapid growth in effort and complexity



From: www.labs.nec.co.jp/ Eng/innovative/E3/03.htm

Quantum algorithms and "quantum parallelism"

-- The great promise of quantum computations ...

In quantum systems, an exponential increase in parallelism requires only a linear increase in the amount of space needed.

Promise to solve efficiently most difficult problems in computational sciences such as simulation of quantum systems, integer factorization, or database searching.



Quantum algorithms and "quantum parallelism"

-- The great promise of quantum computations ...

In quantum systems, an exponential increase in parallelism requires only a linear increase in the amount of space needed.

Promise to solve efficiently most difficult problems in computational sciences such as simulation of quantum systems, integer factorization, or database searching.





Shor's factorization algorithm for prime numbers SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. 26, 1484 (1997)

Factorizes large numbers into prime numbers **exponentially** faster than the best known classical algorithm

 \rightarrow Some classical (asymmetric) cryptography can be broken by this !

Grover's database search algorithm

Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 325 (1997)

Quadratic speedup compared to a classical search algorithm

 \rightarrow Many problems can be translated into search problems !

-- representation and time evolution

$$i\hbar \frac{d|\Psi>}{dt} = H |\Psi> \rightarrow |\Psi'> = U |\Psi> \rightarrow U(\Delta t) = e^{-iH\Delta t/\hbar}$$
Abstract logical operator

Formal requirements for dealing with quantum registers:

- stable representation of basis states |0> and |1>
- reliable preparation of initial states
- perform a universal set of unitary transformations (including the creation of entanglement!)
- measurement of output results
- scalability (we need at least 20 ... 50 qubits)

\varTheta ...

-- representation and time evolution



Partial trace and reduced density matrix

-- representation and time evolution



Realization and simulation of quantum computers



NMR computers



Use of classical computers to simulate parts of quantum computers including quantum measurements, design of algorithms, or the coupling to the environment.

"Numerical studies"



-- An accepted route in theoretical physics ?

About 40 years ago, (pure) numerical studies became an accepted instrument in theoretical physics; they -- in fact -- often provide the only route to obtain sufficient information about many systems.

Matrix diagonalization:



Numerical libraries: LU decomposition, Davidson algorithm, ...

Symbolic manipulations:

- automatic search for symmetries and appropriate coordinates
- simplification of expressions, operators and/or matrix elements
- classification of (many-particle) quantum states
- manipulation of spin chains



Applications of CAS in many-particle physics and quantum computing

Experience: Implementation and computations often require the dominant effort in studying (quantum-) many-particle systems.

- Advanced calculus for "hydrogenic systems"
- Angular momentum in physics (Racah's algebra)
- Point-group symmetries
- Dynamics of spin chains
- Manipulation of quantum registers and quantum circuits
- Classification and control of decoherence
- 😌 ...

Applications of CAS in many-particle physics and quantum computing

Experience: Implementation and computations often require the dominant effort in studying (quantum-) many-particle systems.

- Advanced calculus for "hydrogenic systems"
- Angular momentum in physics (Racah's algebra)
- Point-group symmetries
- Dynamics of spin chains

۲

- Manipulation of quantum registers and quantum circuits
- Classification and control of decoherence

Advantages of using CAS in theoretical physics

- Knowledge of the mathematical rules.
- Facilitates tedious derivations; often used if the basic transformations of some theory are well understood.
- Fast, reliable and easily reproducible.
- Help examine different approximations.
- Stepwise manipulation of expressions.

Requirements for studying quantum many-particle systems -- in addition to the use of CAS in other fields

- The "language", which is used in the design, must be adjusted to the community (e.g. group theory in atomic physics, physical chemistry, or crystallography).
- Simplicity and user-friendliness as these tools may provide only 'intermediate results'.
- Prepared and easily extentable data structures as well as support for changing the data types.
- Scalable algorithms which can be followed *step by step*.
- Tools for error tracing.
- Simple and instructive test cases; they often decide whether the tools and methods are accepted by the community.
- For quantitative predictions about many-particle systems, the interplay of algebraic and numerical methods must be improved.

Simulation of quantum computers

-- computational requests

$H_{N} = H_{1} \otimes H_{2} \otimes ... \otimes H_{n}$

Simulation of N-qubit systems

- Large state vectors and density matrices
- Graphical representation of qubit states
- Application of unitary and non-unitary operations
- Construction of quantum gates and circuits
- Partial trace operations
- Classification of decoherence
- \varTheta ...

Aim in the manipulation of quantum registers

Efficient set-up and treatment of quantum registers as a necessary requirement in order to describe and to follow up the time evolution of model systems and real physical implementations.



FEYNMAN program

-- Simple applications of the toolbox

PhD work of Thomas Radtke

T. Radtke and S. Fritzsche, CPC 173 (2005) 91; CPC 175 (2006) 145

The **FEYNMAN** program

-- a quantum simulator using Maple

- Set of Maple procedures to deal with qubits, quantum registers, operators
- Provides data structures which are flexible for most applications.
- Modular structure: with(Feynman);

Show the identity of quantum circuits:



The **FEYNMAN** program

-- a simple example



Spontaneous decay of single-qubit state

-- amplitude damping of single-qubit density matrix

Example: decay to ground state with a given probability p = 0.25



General questions:

- ★ How close are input and output state ?
- ★ How much "mixedness" (i.e. entropy) will be created ?
- ★ How well is entanglement preserved ?

$$E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-p} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Spontaneous decay of single-qubit state

-- amplitude damping of single-qubit density matrix

Entropy of single-qubit state:



Orignal pure state

Entanglement in quantum systems

-- nonlocal correlations between two or more subsystems

Quantum entanglement occurs when two or more particles interact in a way that causes their fates to become linked. ... Collectively they constitute a single quantum state.



"...spooky action at a distance..." (Einstein)

Quantification of entanglement remains in general unsolved; already the decision for density matrix about being separable or entangled is NP-hard.

Applications of entanglement:

- superdense coding
- quantum state teleportation
- quantum cryptography (key distribution)
- efficient quantum algorithms

Entanglement in quantum systems

-- nonlocal correlations between two or more subsystems

Quantum entanglement occurs when two or more particles interact in a way that causes their fates to become linked. ... Collectively they constitute a single quantum state.



"...spooky action at a distance..." (Einstein)

Quantification of entanglement remains in general unsolved; already the decision for density matrix about being separable or entangled is NP-hard.

Applications of entanglement:

- superdense coding
- quantum state teleportation
- quantum cryptography (key distribution)
- efficient quantum algorithms

Later in this talk.



Search for physical processes where entanglement can be observed and manipulated !

Quantum entanglement

-- A key ingredient of quantum information protocols

A physical resource, like energy, associated with the peculiar nonclassical correlations that are possible between separated quantum systems.

$$\left| \Phi^{+} \right\rangle = \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle + \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \neq \left| a \right\rangle \otimes \left| b \right\rangle \quad \forall \ \left| a \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{A}, \left| b \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{B}$$

Wotter's Concurrence

-- entanglement measure for an arbitrary two-qubit density matrix

$$0 \leq C(\rho) \leq 1$$

$$C = max(0, \ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4) \qquad \text{are eigenvalues of} \quad \rho \,\overline{\rho} = \rho (\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma_y^B) \rho^* (\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma$$

Examples:

Bell state
$$|\Psi^+>=\frac{|01>+|10>}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 $\longrightarrow C(\Psi^+)=1$

Product state $|\Psi > = |00 > - - - - C(\Psi) = 0$

W.K. Wotters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2245.

Entanglement of a pure two-qubit state

-- to be recognized by means of a Schmidt decomposition

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{AB}\rangle &= \frac{1+\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{6}}|00\rangle + \frac{1-\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{6}}|01\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6}}|10\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6}}|11\rangle & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} |a_{i}\rangle |b_{i}\rangle \\ |\psi_{AB}\rangle &= \frac{1+\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{6}}|00\rangle + \frac{1-\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{6}}|01\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6}}|10\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{6}}|11\rangle & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} = 1 \\ |\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}| = 1 \\ |\sum_{i=1$$

Entanglement of a pure two-qubit state

-- to be recognized by means of a Schmidt decomposition

 $E_E(|\psi_{AB}\rangle) = S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B)$

Entropy of entanglement:

Argument opt	non
--------------	-----

Explanation

i) Fidelity and distance measures	
("fidelity",)	fidelity $F(\rho, \sigma) = [\text{Tr}(\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho\sigma}\sqrt{\rho}})]^2$
("trace distance",)	trace distance $D_{\mathrm{Tr}}(\rho,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \ \rho - \sigma\ _{\mathrm{Tr}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \sqrt{(\rho - \sigma)^{\dagger}(\rho - \sigma)}$
("Hilbert-Schmidt distance", $\ldots)$	Hilbert-Schmidt distance $D_{\rm HS}(\rho,\sigma) = \ \rho - \sigma\ _{\rm HS}$ = $\sqrt{{\rm Tr}[(\rho - \sigma)!]}$
("Bures distance",)	Bures distance $D_{\rm B}(\rho,\sigma) = \sqrt{2 - 2 {\rm Tr} \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho} \sigma \sqrt{\rho}}}$
ii) Entropy measures and related	quantities
("entropy",)	von Neumann entropy $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}(\rho \log_2 \rho)$
("linear entropy", $\ldots)$	linearized version of the von Neumann entropy, $S_l(\rho) = \frac{d}{d-1} \left(1 - \text{Tr}(\rho^2)\right)$
("participation ratio", $\ldots)$	participation ratio $R(\rho) = 1/\text{Tr}(\rho^2)$ of a given state. It can be interpreted as the effective number of pure states that enter the mixture.
("relative entropy",)	relative entropy $S(\rho \parallel \sigma) = -S(\rho) - \text{Tr}(\rho \log_2 \sigma)$
("conditional entropy",)	(von Neumann) conditional entropy $S(A B) = S(\rho_{AB}) - S(\rho_B)$
("mutual information", \dots)	mutual information $S(A:B) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB})$
iii) Bipartite entanglement	
("entropy of entanglement", \dots)	entr opy of entanglement $E_E(\psi_{AB}\rangle) = S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B)$
("negativity",)	neg Using the command Feynman_measures()
("logarithmic negativity",)	$\log_{\mathbf{a}}$ rithmic negativity $E_{\mathcal{N}}(\rho) = \log_{2} \ \rho^{T_{\mathbf{a}}} \ _{\mathbf{n}}$

-- decay of a single qubit of a Bell state

$$|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

```
[> input_state := Feynman_set_qregister("Bell","Psi-"):
```

```
> assume(p>=0, p<=1);
noisy channel := qoperation(2, "amplitude damping", p, [2]);
```

noisy_channel := qoperation(2, "amplitude damping", p~, [2])

-- decay of a single qubit of a Bell state

$$|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

```
[> input_state := Feynman_set_qregister("Bell","Psi-"):
```

```
> assume(p>=0, p<=1);
noisy channel := qoperation(2, "amplitude damping", p, [2]);
```

noisy_channel := qoperation(2, "amplitude damping", $p \sim$, [2])

> output_state := Feynman_apply(noisy_channel, input_state);

output_state := qregister
"Psi-", 2,
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{p}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

-- decay of a single qubit of a Bell state

Input state:

$$|\Psi^-\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$
output_state := qregister
"Psi-", 2,

$$|P_{2}^-, 0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_{--}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{1-p_{--}}}{2}, 0|$$

$$0, -\frac{\sqrt{1-p_{--}}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0|$$

$$0, -\frac{\sqrt{1-p_{--}}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0|$$

$$0, -\frac{\sqrt{1-p_{--}}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0, 0|$$

$$0,$$

-- decay of a single qubit of a Bell state



Finite-time disentanglement via spontaneous decay -- amplitude damping of two entangled qubits

Consider two-qubit state:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & z & 0 \\ 0 & z^* & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $0 \le a \le 1, d = 1 - a$ and $b = c = z = 1$

> rho := 1/3*Matrix([[a,0,0,0],[0,1,1,0],[0,1,1,0],[0,0,0,1-a]]):
input := qregister(id, 2, rho);

$$input := qregister \left[id, 2, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{a}{3} \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

Finite-time disentanglement via spontaneous decay

Kraus operators:

-- amplitude damping of two entangled qubits

Operator-sum or Kraus representation:

 $\rho' = \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_i \rho E_i^{\dagger}$

$$E_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$E_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$E_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$E_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

> E1 := Feynman_product("Kronecker", Matrix([[gamma,0],[0,1]]), Matrix([[gamma,0],[0,1]])): E2 := Feynman_product("Kronecker", Matrix([[gamma,0],[0,1]]), Matrix([[0,0],[omega,0]])): E3 := Feynman_product("Kronecker", Matrix([[0,0],[omega,0]]), Matrix([[gamma,0],[0,1]])): E4 := Feynman_product("Kronecker", Matrix([[0,0],[omega,0]]), Matrix([[0,0],[omega,0]])):

> assume(g>=0, g<=1):
 Kraus_ops := subs(omega=sqrt(1-gamma^2), [E1, E2, E3, E4]):
 Kraus_ops := simplify(subs(gamma=1-g, Kraus_ops)):
 output := simplify(Feynman_apply(qoperation(Kraus_ops), input)):</pre>

Finite-time disentanglement via spontaneous decay

-- amplitude damping of two entangled qubits



In contrast to the purely exponential decay of the individual qubits, two entangled qubits may become completely disentangled within a finite time (for a > 1/3).
The **F**EYNMAN program

-- computations with quantum circuits and operations



Features of the **FEYNMAN** program

- Library of frequently required operators and quantum states
- Operator products of various types (inner, tensor, Hilbert-Schmidt,...)
- Type of operators
- Time evolution of pure states due to given Hamiltonian matrix
- Set of quantum operations and noisy channels
- Quantum measures (fidelity, entanglement, ...)
- Bloch-shpere representation of single-qubit states.

The **FEYNMAN** program

-- a quantum simulator using Maple

- Set of Maple procedures to deal with qubits, quantum registers, operators
- Provides data structures which are flexible for most applications.
- Modular structure: with(Feynman);

Main commands of the FEYNMAN package

Feynman_apply()

Feynman_decompose() Feynman_measures() Feynman_operator_function()

Feynman_operator_type() Feynman_plot_Bloch_vector() Feynman_print() Feynman_product()

Feynman_qgate() Feynman_quantum_operator()

Feynman_trace()

Applies a given quantum operator to the state of a N-gubit g. Register. Calculates the Schmidt as well as various matrix decompositions. Evaluates various distance and entanglement measures. Evaluates an operator function for a given matrix or goperator() and returns its explicit matrix representation. Determines the properties of a given matrix or goperator(). Returns a 3D plot of the Bloch sphere representation. Prints the state vector or density matrix of a quantum register Carries out several types of operator products including the inner, outer, Kronecker and Hadamard product for two or more operators. Carries out some pre-defined quantum gate on a sequence of qbit()'s. Evaluates the explicit matrix representation of various predefined and distributed one-, two-, three, or N-qubit quantum operators. Calculates the reduced density operator of a gregister(), i.e. the partial trace.

FEYNMAN program

-- Decay of entanglement under noise

The problem of `decoherence'

-- Convex-roof extensions of pure-state measures

Interaction with the environment ("decoherence") leads to mixed quantum states.

Often, an (entanglement) measure *E* for pure states can be extended to mixed states via an ensemble decomposition of the density matrix:

$$E(\rho) = \inf_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle)$$

PROBLEM: ensemble decomposition not unique!

$$\rho = \sum_{i} p_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle\psi_{i}| = \sum_{i} |\tilde{\psi}_{i}\rangle \langle\tilde{\psi}_{i}| \qquad |\tilde{\psi}_{i}\rangle = \sqrt{p_{i}} |\psi_{i}\rangle$$

unitarily equivalent decompostion:

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\tilde{\phi_i}\rangle \langle \tilde{\phi_i}|, \quad |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{ij} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle_j \qquad m \le \operatorname{Rank}(\rho)^2$$
[Uhlmann, 1998]



optimization over all mxm unitaries required

The problem of `decoherence'

-- Optimization over the set of unitary matrices

Parametrization of nxn unitary matrix requires n²-1 parameters (e.g. generalized Euler angle parametrization [Tilma et al., 2002])



Random snapshot from a 2-dimensional subspace of the total parameter space when optimizing the convex-roof extendend "concurrence" of a two-qubit system.

High-dimensional global optimization problem

- Evolutionary algorithms
- Maple's Global Optimization Toolbox

3-qubit states under local decoherence

-- Optimization over the set of unitary matrices

"N-concurrence" as measure of Nqubit multipartite entanglement

$$C_N(|\psi\rangle) = 2^{1-(N/2)} \sqrt{2^N - 2 - \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{\alpha}^2)}$$

 α = reduced density matrices which are obtained by tracing out n=1,...,N-1 different subsystems (qubits)

1.25 amplitude damping W 1.00 W dephasing GHZ depolarizing W depolarizing 0.75 C_{3} 0.50 0.25 0.00 0.75 0.00 0.25 1.00 0.5 Γt only depolarizing noise leads

to complete disentanglement

Initially entangled states:

$$\begin{split} |GHZ\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N}) \\ |W\rangle_N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(|00...01\rangle + |00...10\rangle + ... + |10...00\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

3-qubit states under local decoherence

-- Optimization over the set of unitary matrices

1.25 - GHZ amplitude damping - GHZ dephasing amplitude damping W 1.00 dephasing 1.0 GHZ depolarizing W depolarizing 0.8 0.75 amplitude damping (zero temp.) dephasing C_{3} depolarizing (inf. temp) 0 0.6 0.50 τ_{123} 0.4 0.25 0.2 0.00 0.25 0.75 0.00 1.00 0.50.0 Γt 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 Γt only depolarizing noise leads now depolarizing and amplitude damping to complete disentanglement noise lead to complete disentanglement

Decay of the genuine 3-qubit entanglement measured by the so-called "residual entanglement"

Realization and simulation of quantum computers





Different schemes have been suggested in the past.





Use of classical computers to simulate parts of quantum computers including quantum measurment, design of algorithms, or the coupling to the environment.

Theory

From: www.europhysicsnews.com/. ../article5.html

Quantum entanglement

-- A key ingredient of quantum information protocols

A physical resource, like energy, associated with the peculiar nonclassical correlations that are possible between separated quantum systems.

$$\left| \Phi^{+} \right\rangle = \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle + \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \neq \left| a \right\rangle \otimes \left| b \right\rangle \quad \forall \ \left| a \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{A}, \left| b \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{B}$$

- Photon pairs were first successful candidates for nonlocality & entanglement experiments (Aspect 1982, Kleinpoppen 1984)
- Atom-photon entanglement (H. Weinfurter et al., Munich)



Necessary for entanglement between atoms at remote locations.

Quantum entanglement

-- A key ingredient of quantum information protocols

A physical resource, like energy, associated with the peculiar nonclassical correlations that are possible between separated quantum systems.

$$\left| \Phi^{+} \right\rangle = \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle + \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \neq \left| a \right\rangle \otimes \left| b \right\rangle \quad \forall \ \left| a \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{A}, \left| b \right\rangle \in \mathsf{H}_{B}$$

Necessary for entanglement between atoms at remote locations.



From: www.europhysicsnews.com/. ../article5.html

Trapped atoms/ions are good candidates for a sufficiently stable *quantum memory*



Photons are good candidates for a sufficiently stable *quantum processor*

Link between processor and memory: Atom-photon entanglement Pairs of photons with "well-defined degree of entanglement"

Atomic photoionization

-- Entanglement between electrons and ions



Search for physical processes where entanglement can be observed and manipulated !

-- one of the most intensively studied processes in Nature





Studies on atomic photoionization

- (Total) cross section
- Angular distributions
- Spin-polarization

-- one of the most intensively studied processes in Nature





Studies on atomic photoionization

- (Total) cross section
- Angular distributions
- Spin-polarization
- Entanglement as additional resource

Change of entanglement in atomic photoionization

-- physics of photoionization

$$M_{fb} = \int \Psi_f^+(\mathbf{r}) \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \boldsymbol{u} \, \mathrm{e}^{i \, \boldsymbol{k} \, \boldsymbol{r}} \, \Psi_b(\mathbf{r}) \, d \, \boldsymbol{r}$$

electron-photon interaction

Change of entanglement in atomic photoionization

-- physics of photoionization

$$M_{fb} = \int \Psi_f^+(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathbf{\alpha} \, \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{e}^{i \, \mathbf{k} \, \mathbf{r}} \, \Psi_b(\mathbf{r}) \, d \, \mathbf{r}$$

electron-photon interaction

multipole expansion of the photon field

SS

$$\mathbf{u}_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} i^{L} \sqrt{2L+1} \mathcal{A}_{LM}^{(\lambda)} \mathcal{D}_{M\lambda}^{L} (\hat{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_{z})$$

$$photon \qquad \text{Wigner rotation}$$

$$multipole fields \qquad \text{matrices}$$
angular distribution of photoelectrons following ionization of H-like uranium ion}

-- Theoretical framework



-- Theoretical framework



probability to get a 'click' at the detectors:

$$W = Tr\left(\hat{P}\hat{\rho}_{f}\right) = \sum_{\eta_{1}...\eta_{m}} \langle \eta_{1}...\eta_{m} | \hat{P}\hat{\rho}_{f} | \eta_{1}...\eta_{m} \rangle$$

-- Theoretical framework



Using the density matrix, the system can be accompanied through several steps of the interaction which may lead to the emission of photons, electrons, ...

Toy model: Two independent H-like ions in a trap



measure angular dependence of ejected electron spin polarization

- Two electrons are distinguishable & non-interacting !
- Nuclear spins neglected.

T. Radtke et al., Phys. Lett. A 347 (2005) 73.

Change of entanglement in atomic photoionization

-- entanglement as function of the photon angle



Toy model: Two independent H-like ions in a trap

-- entanglement as function of the initial 2-qubit state



5s photoionization of atomic strontium (Z=38)

$$Sr (5s^{2} \ ^{l}S_{0}) + hv \rightarrow Sr^{+} (5s^{2}S_{1/2}) + e^{-}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{circularly polarized}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}{\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}\stackrel{\text{result}}}$$

composite system of two qubits: Photoion + electron

÷

$$\left\langle \alpha_{f} J_{f} \mathcal{M}_{f}, \mathbf{p} m_{s} \middle| \rho_{f} \middle| \alpha_{f} J_{f} \mathcal{M}_{f}^{'}, \mathbf{p} m_{s}^{'} \right\rangle \propto \sum W \left\langle (\alpha_{f} J_{f}, \varepsilon \kappa j) J_{tot} \middle| \mathbf{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{L}^{(\lambda)} \middle| \alpha_{0} J_{0} \right\rangle$$
$$\times \left\langle (\alpha_{f} J_{f}, \varepsilon \kappa' j') J_{tot}^{'} \middle| \mathbf{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{L'}^{(\lambda)} \middle| \alpha_{0} J_{0} \right\rangle$$

lenghty geometric factor (Clebsch-Gordans, D-matrix etc.)

Final-state entanglement as function of the photon angle

-- comparison of length and velocity gauge



Angular distribution similar to IPM results, but much lower values near to the ionization threshold.

Final-state entanglement as function of the photon energy -- with right-cicularly polarized light



Good agreement with IPM results for high photon energies.

Entanglement can be observed and manipulated in atomic photoionization

- sensitive to relativistic/multipole effects
- strongly sensitive to many-particle effects

Two-photon decay

-- Entanglement between pairs of photons



w(M1) = 2.496*10⁻⁶ sec⁻¹

 $w(E1E1) = 8.229 \text{ sec}^{-1}$



The (E1E1) two-photon decay is the dominant decay channel of metastable hydrogen!

Multipole	Contribution (sec ⁻¹)	
	Z=1	Z=92
2E1	8.229	3.826*10 ¹²
E1M2	2.537*10 ⁻¹⁰	9.139*10 ⁹
2M1	1.380*10 ⁻¹¹	1.109*10 ⁹
2E2	4.907*10 ⁻¹²	1.786*10 ⁸
2M2	3.069*10-22	9.907*10 ^₅

Predicted by M. Göppert-Mayer (1931)

- First decay rate estimations by Breit and Teller (1940)
- First observed only in 1975 by O'Connell et al.
- Polarization correlation (for back-to-back geometry) measured and found to violate Bell inequality (Perrie et al.,1985)

$$\frac{dW}{dx} = Z^6 \frac{9 \alpha^6}{2^{10}} \psi(x)$$

Santos et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 3, 43 (1998)



w(M1) = 2.496*10⁻⁶ sec⁻¹

 $w(E1E1) = 8.229 \text{ sec}^{-1}$



The (E1E1) two-photon decay is the dominant decay channel of metastable hydrogen!

- Predicted by M. Göppert-Mayer (1931)
- First decay rate estimations by Breit and Teller (1940)
- First observed only in 1975 by O'Connell et al.
- Polarization correlation (for back-to-back geometry) measured and found to violate Bell inequality (Perrie et al.,1985)

$$\frac{dW}{dx} = Z^6 \frac{9\alpha^6}{2^{10}} \psi(x)$$



-- geometry and control parameters



Parameters:

- 3 polar and azimuth angles
- energy ratio between the photons
- initial polarization state of the atom/ion
- Z-dependence (i.e. relativistic effects)



Search for tailor-made entanglement !

-- geometry and control parameters



Parameters:

- 3 polar and azimuth angles
- energy ratio between the photons
- initial polarization state of the atom/ion
- Z-dependence (i.e. relativistic effects)



$$M_{fi}(\mu_{f},\mu_{i},\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{\left\langle \psi_{n_{f}j_{f}\mu_{f}} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{1}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \right| \psi_{\nu} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{\nu} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{2}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \right| \psi_{n_{i}j_{i}\mu_{i}} \right\rangle}{E_{\nu} - E_{i} + E_{\gamma_{2}}} + \sum_{\nu} \frac{\left\langle \psi_{n_{f}j_{f}\mu_{f}} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{2}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \right| \psi_{\nu} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{\nu} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{1}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \right| \psi_{n_{i}j_{i}\mu_{i}} \right\rangle}{E_{\nu} - E_{i} + E_{\gamma_{1}}}$$

Polarization entanglement in the $2s_{1/2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2}$ two-photon decay -- unpolarized initial state

The opening angle between the photons is the only free parameter in this case.



Independent from the energy sharing between the photons.

Polarization entanglement in the 2s_{1/2} Transformed and the 2s_{1/2} - unpolarized initial state

The opening angle between the photons is the only free parameter in this case.



Polarization entanglement in the $2s_{1/2} \otimes 1s_{1/2}$ two-photon decay

-- relativistic increase



Polarization entanglement in the $2s_{1/2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2}$ two-photon decay -- initial polarization state $\mu = \pm 1/2$



Summary and outlook

- Quantum technologies promise a high efficiency far beyond the capacity of present-day equipment, both in computing and communications.
- However, in order to make use of these advantages, a great deal of simulations will be needed to understand the physics and elementary operations of quantum computers.
- Computer algebra offers here a powerful alternative to simulate the behaviour of N-qubit quantum registers, their internal evolution and the interplay with the physical world.
 FEYNMAN program
- Quantum entanglement is a key resource and essential to the performance of QC; therefore, a large number of case studies have been carried out recently.

influence of decoherence on multi-partite entanglement

Photoionization of trapped ions may support the control and modification of entanglement in coupled spin systems.

Happy birthday and all the best to you, Vladimir Gerdt





Happy birthday and all the best to you,

Vladimir Gerdt




