# Supervisory Control of Manufacturing Systems with Time Specifications

Alexander Schaub

Institute of Automatic Control Engineering Technische Universität München





# Bibliography

- P.C.Y. Chen, W.M. Wonham. Stable supervisory control of flexible manufacturing systems with fixed supply and demand rates. Int. J. Prod. Res., 2001, Vol. 39, No.2, 347-368.
- B.A. Brandin and W.M. Wonham. Supervisory Control of Timed Discrete-Event Systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. 39, No. 2, February 1994.
- 3. P.J.G. Ramagde, W.M. Wonham. The control of Discrete Event Systems. Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol 77, No.1, January 1989.



Example 00000



## Overview

#### • Introduction

- **Methods:** DES, TDES, Supervisory Control, Synchronization Operators, Procedure Scheme.
- **CNC Machine:** Model, Specifications, Resulting Supervisor, Activities.
- Conclusions



Example 00000



## Introduction

#### Manufacturing system:

- Flexible: different tasks on different types of parts.
- Reconfigurable processors: it takes time to initialize another task.
- Limited buffers.
- Fixed supply and demand rate.
- Hard-real-time: completion of a task within a given deadline is guaranteed.

#### Supervisory controller manages the system.

Both modeled as timed discrete-event systems. Formal constructive method to:

- 1. decide whether a stable supervisory control exists.
- 2. compute the stable supervisory control, if it exists.





#### **Discrete Event Systems**

 $G_{act} = (\Sigma_{act}, A, \delta_{act}, a_0, A_m)$ 

- $\Sigma_{act}$ : finite alphabet of event labels (events)
- A: Activity set containing activities a (states).
- $\delta_{act}$ : Activity transition function.

$$\delta_{act}: \Sigma_{act} \times A \to A$$

- Activity transition  $\sigma: a' = \delta_{act}(\sigma, a)$ .
- *a*<sub>0</sub>: initial activity.
- $A_m \subseteq A$ : subset of marker activities.





#### **Timed Discrete-Event Systems**

- $G = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, q_0, Q_m)$ 
  - $q_0 \in Q$ ,  $Q_m \subseteq Q$
  - Discrete time event *tick*:  $\Sigma := \Sigma_{act} \cup \{tick\}$
  - Lower  $l_{\sigma}$  and upper  $u_{\sigma}$  time bounds for each transition  $\sigma$ .
  - Two possible types:
    - 1. prospective events  $\sigma_{spe}$  with  $0 \leq l_{\sigma} \leq u_{\sigma} < \infty$
    - 2. remote events  $\sigma_{rem}$  with  $0 \leq l_{\sigma} < u_{\sigma} = \infty$
  - Timed event triples  $\Sigma_{tim} := \{(\sigma, l_{\sigma}, u_{\sigma}) | \sigma \in \Sigma_{act} \}$
  - Every state q is related to an activity and a timer:  $q = (a, \{t_{\sigma} | \sigma \in \Sigma_{act}\})$





#### **Example of Timed Discrete-Event Systems**

$$G_{act} = (\Sigma_{act}, A, \delta_{act}, a_0, A_m)$$

- $\Sigma_{act} = \{\alpha, \beta\}$
- $a_0 = 0$

• 
$$\delta_{act}(\alpha, 0) = \delta_{act}(\beta, 0) = 0$$

•  $A = A_m = \{0\}$ 





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



#### **Example of Timed Discrete-Event Systems**





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



# Supervisory Control of DES

- Inclusion of all possible transition sequences of (T)DES G in its language L(G).
- Representation of the supervisor by an automaton  ${\cal V}$  monitoring  ${\cal G}.$
- Disablement of certain events in transition structure of G to meet certain specifications.
- Differentiation between *controllable* and *uncontrollable* events:  $\Sigma_{act} = \Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_u$
- Possibility to *force* some events  $\Sigma_{for}$ .





### **Supervisory Control of DES**

- Specification of the control input for every possible string w of G by a supervisor map  $s:\ \kappa=s(w)$
- Closed loop behavior of the system L(V|G) =: K
  - 1.  $\epsilon \in K$
  - 2.  $w\sigma \in K$  iff  $w \in K, \sigma \in V(w), w\sigma \in L$





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



## **Example for Supervisory Control of DES**

- Supremal controllable language  $K^{\uparrow}$ : largest controllable language  $K^{\uparrow} \subseteq K$ .
- $\Sigma_c = \{\alpha, \beta\},\$  $\Sigma_u = \{\lambda\}.$
- $L = (\alpha(\alpha\alpha + \beta)(\lambda + \alpha) + \beta(\alpha\lambda + \alpha\alpha + \lambda))\beta^*$
- $L_m = (\alpha(\alpha\alpha + \beta)\alpha + \beta(\alpha\alpha + \lambda))\beta^*$
- $\bullet \ \ K^{\uparrow} = (\alpha \alpha + \beta) \lambda \beta^{*}$

• K is called controllable if:  $\overline{K}\Sigma_u \cap L \subseteq \overline{K}$ 





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



# Supervisory Control of TDES

- Considering time bounds  $(l_{\sigma}, u_{\sigma})$  as specifications.
- Minimal restrictive supervisor: disabling certain events only if necessary → creation of largest possible subset of legal sequences.
- Software TTCT available to create, combine TDESs and to compute the supremal controllable sublanguage of a given language.
- Creation of a supervisory TDES by three main steps: *sync,meet* and *supcon*





#### Parallelisation of Generators (sync)

- Synchronization of two TDESs:  $G_3 = G_1 ||G_2|$
- For all  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{3,act} : \sigma \in (\Sigma_{1,act} \Sigma_{2,act}) \cup (\Sigma_{2,act} \Sigma_{1,act})$
- Timed events must be synchronisable:

1. 
$$\sigma \in \Sigma_{1,act} \cap \Sigma_{2,act}$$

2. 
$$(l_{\sigma}, u_{\sigma}) = (\max(l_{1,\sigma}, l_{2,\sigma}), \min(u_{1,\sigma}, u_{2,\sigma}))$$



Example 00000



#### **Example: the Endangered Pedestrian**

- $G = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, q_0, Q_m)$
- $\mathsf{PED} = (\{j\}, \{r, c\}, \{[r, j, c]\}, r, \{c\}); \Sigma_{tim} = (j, 1, \infty):$
- j = 'jump', r = 'road', c = 'curb'.



- CAR =  $(\{p\}, \{a, g\}, \{[a, p, g]\}, a, \{g\}; \Sigma_{tim} = (p, 2, 2):$
- p = 'pass', a = 'approaching', g = 'gone by'.





Introduction 0 Methods 0000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



#### **Example: the Endangered Pedestrian**

- j = 'jump', p = 'pass'.
- $CP = sync(CAR, PED), \Sigma_{for} = \{j\}.$
- TDES of CP:





Introduction 0 Methods 0000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



# Restriction of Synchronization on Common Symbols (meet)

- $G_3 = G_1 \sqcap G_2$
- Finding a TDES fulfilling all conditions of different TDESs simultaneously.
- Special case of sync with  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$ .





#### **Example: Saving the Pedestrian**

- For safety: *Jump* before the car *passes*.
- $$\begin{split} \mathsf{SAVE} &= (\{j,p\},\{s0,s1,s2\},\{[s0,j,s1],[s1,p,s2]\},s0,\{s2\}),\\ \Sigma_{tim} &= \{(j,0,\infty),(p,0,\infty)\}: \end{split}$$
- j = 'jump', p = 'pass'.





Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



#### **Example: Saving the Pedestrian**

- Adding the safety specification to the endangered pedestrian example.
- CPSAVE = meet(CP, SAVE):





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



# Computation of $K^{\uparrow}$ (supcon)

- Finding the supremal controllable sublanguage for a certain model TDES G and its specification TDES S.
- supcon:  $V = \Phi(G, S)$
- Every contained sequence observes the specifications.
- Erasure of all undesired transitions paths.
- Possibility of an empty supervisor  $\rightarrow$  specifications too hard.



Example 00000



#### Procedure for computing a supervisor





Introduction 0 Methods 0000000000 Example

Conclusions 0



#### Task

- Output Buffers Input Buffers Processor **S**1 d<sub>1</sub> M<sub>1</sub> = 5 N₁ = 3  $c_1 = 2$  $c_2 = 1$  $\mathbf{s}_2$ d: M<sub>2</sub> = 6  $N_2 = 5$ Setup time an a<sub>1</sub>  $a_2$ 0 a. 0 1 a₁ 0 0 \_ a<sub>2</sub> 1 0
- Processor P, input buffers  $F_1, F_2$  and output buffers  $H_1, H_2$ .
- Input rates:  $s_1 = 0.5 \text{ parts/min}, s_2 = 1/3 \text{ parts/min}.$
- Output rates:  $d_1 = 1/3$  parts/min,  $d_2 = 0.25$  parts/min.





Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000 Example •0000 Conclusions 0







Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000 Example

Conclusions 0



• Input buffer  $G_{F_1}$  ( $\alpha_1 =$ 'request',  $\beta_1 =$ 'enter'):



• Output buffer  $G_{H_1}$  ( $\alpha_3 =$ 'leave',  $\beta_3 =$ 'fetched'):





Introduction 0

model

buffer

operation

setup

change

part

processing

Methods 000000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0





• Processor reconfiguration  $G_r$ ( $\lambda$  ='reconfig',  $\mu$  ='finished reconfig'):





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0







Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example

Conclusions 0







Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000 Example

Conclusions 0





• Output buffer specification  $S_{H_1}$ ( $\alpha_3 =$ 'leave',  $\sigma_1 =$ 'finished producing'):





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0





• Proper configuration of processor  $S_P$ ( $\gamma =$ 'produce',  $\lambda =$ 'reconfig',  $\mu =$ 'finished reconfig'):





Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000 Example 00€00 Conclusions 0





 Output specification for type-1 parts S<sub>O1</sub> (α<sub>3</sub> = 'leave', β<sub>3</sub> = 'fetched'):



\*: t,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_4$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\lambda_{01}$ ,  $\mu_{01}$ ,  $\lambda_{10}$ ,  $\mu_{10}$ ,  $\lambda_{02}$ ,  $\mu_{02}$ ,  $\lambda_{20}$ ,  $\mu_{20}$ 



Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



#### **Resulting Supervisor**

- sync all models:  $G_w = G_{F_1} \| G_{F_2} \| G_{H_1} \| G_{H_2} \| G_p \| G_r$ .
- Model  $G_w$  consists of 35280 states and 129792 transitions.
- Receiving V by repeated application of supcon:  $V = \Phi(\Phi(\Phi(G_w, S_p), S_{F_1} \sqcap S_{F_2}), S_{H_1} \sqcap S_{H_2}), S_{O_1} \sqcap S_{O_2}).$
- Supervisor V consists of 2538 states and 5945 transitions.
- One possible sequence:  $\alpha_1\beta_2\alpha_2\sigma_1\gamma_1tt\beta_1\alpha_1\sigma_1\lambda_{10}\mu_{10}\lambda_{02}t...$





#### **Example's Activities**

• Level of type-1 part input buffer:



• Level of type-2 part input buffer:





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000000 Example 0000● Conclusions 0



#### **Example's Activities**

• Level of type-1 part output buffer:





•

Introduction 0 Methods 000000000000000 Example 0000● Conclusions 0



#### **Example's Activities**

• Processor activity under supervisory control:





Introduction 0 Methods 00000000000000 Example 00000 Conclusions 0



### Conclusions

- Ability to find a supervisor containing all safe sequences.
- Minimally restrictive controller  $\rightarrow$  optimization possibility.
- Computation of the supremal controllable sublanguage in polynomial time.
- Disadvantage: exponential increase of the number of states of a composite TDES.
- Suggested solution in the paper:
  - modular synthesis: set of concurrently operating modular supervisors.



Example 00000

