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## 1. Introduction. The regular Sturm - Liouville problem.

$-\left(p(x) y(x)^{\prime}\right)+q(x) y(x)=\lambda w(x) y(x)$ $x \in(a, b)$
$(a, b)$ - open interval
$\{p, q, w\}$-coefficients defined on the open interval $(a, b)$
$\lambda$ - spectral parameter

## Boundary conditions:

- Regular (R)
- Singular (S)
- Separated
- Coupled

Regular boundary conditions:

- separated boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} y^{\prime}(a)+A_{2} y(a)=0 \\
& B_{1} y^{\prime}(b)+B_{2} y(b)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- coupled boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(a)=y(b) \\
& \left(p y^{\prime}\right)(a)=\left(p y^{\prime}\right)(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eigen solution of the regular Sturm - Liouville problem -

$$
\{\lambda, y\}
$$

$\lambda$ - eigenvalue, for which differential equation has nontrivial solution $\psi$
$y$ - eigenfunction, corresponds to eigenvalue, satisfies boundary conditions

## The regular Sturm - Liouville problem \& Schrödinger problem

$-\left(p(x) y(x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(x) y(x)=\lambda w(x) y(x), x \in(a, b)$
( $a, b$ ) - is the integration interval and the boundary conditions
$-y(x) "+q(x) y(x)=\lambda y(x), x \in(a, b)$
$(a, b)$ - is the integration interval and the boundary conditions

## 2. The Two - Center Problem in quantum mechanics.

$\Delta \psi(r ; R)+2\left(E-\frac{Z_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z_{2}}{r_{2}}\right) \psi(r ; R)=0$ $\hbar=m_{e}=e$
$Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ - nuclear charges
$r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$-distance between electron and $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$
$R$ - internuclear distance
$E=E(R)$ - energy term


## Prolate spheroidal coordinate system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta=\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}}{R}, \eta=\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{R}, \varphi=\arctan (y / x) \\
& \zeta=1, \eta=+1 \quad \text { - coordinates of charge } Z_{1} \\
& \zeta=1, \eta=-1 \quad \text { - coordinates of charge } Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\psi_{j}=\psi_{k q m}(\zeta, \eta, \varphi ; R)=N_{k q m}(R) X_{m k}(\zeta ; R) Y_{m q}(\eta ; R) e^{i m \varphi}
$$

$j=\{k, q, m\}-$ set of quantum numbers
$k$ - principal quantum number
$q$ - orbital quantum number
$m$ - magnetic quantum number
$\int_{V} \psi^{*}{ }_{k q m}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; R) \psi_{k^{\prime} q^{\prime} m^{\prime}}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; R) d V=\delta_{k k^{\prime}} \delta_{q q^{\prime}} \delta_{m m^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d \zeta}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) \frac{d}{d \zeta} X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)+\left[-\lambda-p^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)+a \zeta-\frac{m^{2}}{\zeta^{2}-1}\right] X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)=0 \\
\frac{d}{d \eta}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \eta} Y_{m q}(\eta, R)+\left[-\tilde{\lambda}-p^{2}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)+b \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}\right] Y_{m q}(\eta, R)=0 \\
p_{j}^{2}=-\frac{E_{j} R^{2}}{2}(p>0)-\text { energy parameter } \\
a=\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}\right) R, \quad b=\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}\right) R-\text { charge parameter } \\
\lambda=\lambda_{m k}^{(\zeta)}(p, a), \quad \tilde{\lambda}=\lambda_{m q}^{(\eta)}(p, b)-\text { separation constants } \\
\lambda_{m k}^{(\zeta)}(p, a)=\lambda_{m q}^{(\eta)}(p, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quasiradial equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \zeta}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) \frac{d}{d \zeta} X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)+\left[-\lambda-p^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)+a \zeta-\frac{m^{2}}{\zeta^{2}-1}\right] X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)=0 \\
& \left|X_{m k}(1 ; R)\right|<\infty,\left|X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)\right| \underset{\zeta \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow 0, \zeta \in[1, \infty)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Jaffé expansion

$$
t=(\zeta-1) /(\zeta+1) \quad \text { - transformation of variable }
$$

$\zeta \rightarrow t,-1 \rightarrow+\infty,+1 \rightarrow 0, \infty \rightarrow+1 \quad$ - transformation of equilibrium points $\zeta \in[1 ; \infty) \rightarrow t \in[0 ; 1) \quad$ - transformation of interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{m k}(\zeta ; R)=e^{-p \zeta}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{m / 2}(\zeta+1)^{\sigma} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} g_{s}\left(\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta+1}\right)^{s} \\
& \delta=a / 2 p-(m+1) \\
& \alpha_{s} g_{s+1}-\beta_{s} g_{s}+\gamma_{s} g_{s-1}=0 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { three - termed relation between } \\
\text { coefficients } g_{s}
\end{array} \\
& \alpha_{s}=(s+1)(s+m+1) \\
& \beta_{s}=2 s(s+2 p-\delta)-(m+\delta)(m+1)-2 p \delta+\lambda \\
& \gamma_{s}=(s-1-\delta)(s-m-1-\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eigenvalues of the problem are found from the condition of nullifying of the continued fraction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(p, a, \lambda)=\beta_{0}-\frac{\alpha_{0} \gamma_{1}}{\beta_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}}{\beta_{2}-}}=0 \\
& \left|\frac{\alpha_{s-1} \gamma_{s}}{\beta_{s-1} \beta_{s}}\right|_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{4 p}{s}\right)+O\left(\frac{p^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Coefficients of three - termed relation converge for all $p>0$ It follows from the equation above.
The ratio of the series coefficients

$$
\frac{g_{s+1}}{g_{s}} \rightarrow 1-2 \sqrt{\frac{p}{s}+O\left(\frac{p}{s}\right)}
$$

provides the convergence of Jaffé expansion on the complete interval $t \in[0 ; 1)$ or $\zeta \in[1 ; \infty)$

## Quasiangular equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \eta} Y_{m q}(\eta ; R)+\left[-\tilde{\lambda}-p^{2}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)+b \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}\right] Y_{m q}(\zeta ; R)=0 \\
& \left|Y_{m q}( \pm 1 ; R)\right|<\infty ;-1 \leq \eta \leq+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Baber - Hasse expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{m q}(\eta ; R)=e^{-p \eta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_{s} P_{s+m}^{m}(\eta) \\
& \rho_{s} c_{s+1}-\chi_{s} c_{s}+\delta_{s} c_{s-1}=0 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text {-relation between three-terms } \\
\text { coefficients } c_{s}
\end{array} \\
& \rho_{s}=\frac{(s+2 m+1)(b-2 p(s+m+1))}{2(s+m)+3} \\
& \chi_{s}=(s+m)(s+m+1)-\lambda \\
& \delta_{s}=\frac{s[b+2 p(s+m)]}{2(s+m)-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ratio of succeeding series coefficients at large indexes:

$$
\frac{c_{s+1}}{c_{s}} \sim \frac{p}{s} \underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

Solutions of $\lambda_{m k}^{(\zeta)}(p, a)=\lambda_{m q}^{(\eta)}(p, b)$ can be found from the equation

$$
F^{(\eta)}(p, b, \lambda)=k_{0}-\frac{\rho_{0} \delta_{1}}{k_{1}-\frac{\rho_{1} \delta_{2}}{k_{2}-}} \ldots=0
$$

Continuous fraction converges due to following limit:

$$
\left|\frac{\rho_{s-1} \delta_{s}}{\chi_{s-1} \chi_{s}}\right| \underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow}\left(\frac{2 p}{s}\right)^{2}
$$



## Parameters

```
    \(\mathrm{q} 1=-2\)
    \(\mathrm{q} 2=3\)
    \(\mathrm{R}=15\)
    \(m=0\)
    \(p=1\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 1=-200\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 2=0\)
N max \(=50\)
```

$\square$ Quit

Plot Q_r(lam)


## Parameters

```
    \(\mathrm{q} 1=-2\)
    \(\mathrm{q} 2=3\)
    \(R=15\)
    \(m=0\)
    \(p=1.5\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 1=-200\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 2=0\)
\(\mathrm{Nmax}=50\)
```

Plot
Clear
Quit

Plot Q_r(lam)


## Parameters

```
    \(q 1=-2\)
    \(\mathrm{q}^{2}=3\)
    \(\mathrm{R}=15\)
    \(m=0\)
    \(p=2\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 1=-200\)
\(\operatorname{lam} 2=0\)
N max \(=50\)
```

$\square$ Quit

Plot Q_u(lam)


## Parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q} 1 & =-2 \\
\mathrm{q} 2 & =3 \\
\mathrm{R} & =15 \\
\mathrm{~m} & =0 \\
\mathrm{p} & =1 \\
\operatorname{lam} 1 & =-200 \\
\operatorname{lam} 2 & =0 \\
\text { Nmax } & =50 \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$

Plot Q_u(lam)


## Parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q} 1 & =-2 \\
\mathrm{q} 2 & =3 \\
\mathrm{R} & =15 \\
\mathrm{~m} & =0 \\
\mathrm{p} & =3 \\
\operatorname{lam} 1 & =-200 \\
\operatorname{lam} 2 & =0 \\
\text { Nmax } & =50 \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$



Plot Q_u(lam)


## Parameters

| q 1 | $=-2$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| q 2 | $=3$ |
| R | $=\square 15$ |
| $m$ | $=0$ |
| p | $=5$ |
| $\operatorname{lam} 1$ | $=-200$ |
| $\operatorname{lam} 2$ | $=0$ |
| Nmax | $=50$ |

$\square$ Plot

| $\square$ |
| :---: |
| Clear |
| Quit |

Plot lam $r(p)$


## Parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q} 1 & =-2 \\
\mathrm{q} 2 & =3 \\
\mathrm{R} & =15 \\
\mathrm{~m} & =0 \\
\mathrm{p} 1 & =1 \\
\mathrm{p} 2 & =10 \\
\mathrm{~d} \mathbf{p} & =0.1 \\
\operatorname{lam} 1 & =-200 \\
\operatorname{lam} 2 & =0 \\
\mathbf{k} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

## View parameters

$p \min =1$
$p \max =10$
$\operatorname{lam} \min =-200$
Apply
lam max $=0$
Restore
Plot
Stop


Plot lam $r(p)$


```
Parameters
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q} 1 & =-2 \\
\mathrm{q} 2 & =3 \\
\mathrm{R} & =15 \\
\mathrm{~m} & =0 \\
\mathrm{p} 1 & =1 \\
\mathrm{p} 2 & =10 \\
\mathrm{dp} & =0.1 \\
\operatorname{lam} 1 & =-200 \\
\operatorname{lam} 2 & =0 \\
\mathbf{k} & =4
\end{aligned}
\]
```


## View parameters

$p \min =1$
$p \max =10$
lam min $=-200$
lam max $=0$
Apply
Plot
Stop


## 3. SLEIGN2

General-purpose programs for computing the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Sturm - Liouville problem

- Program SLEIGN has been developed by Bailey, Gordon and Shampine, programming language FORTRAN
- Code in the NAG Library has been developed by Pryce and Marletta, programming language FORTRAN
- Program SLEDGE has been developed by Fulton and Pruess, programming language FORTRAN
- Program SLEIGN2 has been developed by Bailey Everitt and Zettl, programming language FORTRAN

To meet the needs of numerical computing techniques was made the following assumptions:

1. The interval $(a, b)$ of $R$ may be bounded or unbounded
2. $p, q$ and $w$ are real-valued functions on ( $a, b$ )
3. $p, q$ and $w$ piecewise continuous on ( $a, b$ )
4. $p$ and $w$ strictly positive on $(a, b)$

Conditions on the coefficients:
Minimal conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p^{-1}, q, w \in L^{1}(a, b) \\
p(x), w(x)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Smoothness conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p, p^{\prime}, q, w \in C(a, b) \\
p(x), w(x)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

## Endpoint classification

To classify endpoints $a$ and $b$, it is convenient to choose $a$ point $c \in(a, b)$

- $a$ is Regular ( $R$ ), if
$-\infty<a<+\infty$
$p, q, w-$ piecewise continuous on $[a, c]$

$$
p(a)>0, w(a)>0
$$

- $A$ is Singular (S), if

$$
a= \pm \infty \text { or }
$$

$a \in R$, but $\int_{a}^{c}\left\{(p(x))^{-1}+|q(x)|+w(x)\right\} d x=+\infty$

- The singular endpoint $a$ is Limit Point (LP) if for some real $\lambda$ at least one solution of differential equation satisfies the condition

$$
\int_{a}^{c} w|y|^{2} d x=+\infty
$$

- The endpoint $a$ is Weakly Regular (WR) if $-\infty<a$ \&

$$
\int_{a}^{c}\left(p^{-1}+|q|+w\right) d x<+\infty
$$

- The singular endpoint is Limit-Circle Non-Oscillatory(LCNO) if for some real value of spectral parameter $\lambda$ ALL realvalued solutions $y(x, \lambda)$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\int_{a}^{c} w|y|^{2}<+\infty
$$

and $y(x, \lambda)$ has at most a finite number of zeros in ( $a, c$ ]

- The singular endpoint is Limit-Circle Oscillatory(LCO) if for some real value of spectral parameter $\lambda$ ALL realvalued solutions $y(x, \lambda)$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\int_{a}^{c} w|y|^{2}<+\infty
$$

and $y(x, \lambda)$ has an infinite number of zeros in ( $a, c$ ]

SLP problems are classified into various classes based on the classification of the endpoints and on whether the boundary conditions are separated (S) or coupled (C). We have the following categories:

1. R/R, Separated
2. R/R, Coupled
3. R/LCNO LCNO/R, Separated
4. R/LCNO LCNO/R, Coupled
5. R/LCO LCO/R, Separated
6. R/LCO LCO/R, Coupled
7. LCNO /LCO LCO/ LCNO LCO/ LCO, Separated
8. LCNO /LCO LCO/ LCNO LCO/ LCO, Coupled
9. LP/R LP/LCNO LP/LCO R/LP LCNO/LP LCO/LP
10.LP/LP

## The algorithm in SLEIGN2

- Initial interval $(a, b)$ is converted to interval $(-1,+1)$ in the SLEIGN2 package
- The computation procedure is implemented by the use of Prüfer Transform.


## Prüfer Transform.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(x)=\rho(x) \sin (\theta(x)) \\
& (p y)^{\prime}(x)=\rho(x) \cos (\theta(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Differential equation for $\rho \& \theta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime}(x)=p(x)^{-1} \cos ^{2}(\theta(x))+(\lambda w(x)-q(x)) \sin ^{2}(\theta(x)) \\
& \rho^{\prime}(x) / \rho(x)=\left(p(x)^{-1}-\lambda w(x)+q(x)\right) \sin (\theta(x)) \cos (\theta(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Boundary conditions for $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(a)=-\operatorname{arctg}\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right) \\
& \theta(b)-\pi n=-\operatorname{arctg}\left(B_{2} / B_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following disadvantages were found in the program package SLEIGN2:

- The interface of the program is organized on the base of console dialog. This approach considerably increase the time for defining the problem paramters.
- The program is unstable towards the input : if numbers are taken in the incorrect format (say, with comma instead of dot) or letters are taking instead of number. In this case the program is terminated and it is required to start work from the very beginning.
- Additional program MAKEPQW is required in order to create own examples.


## Solution in BARSIC (Business And Research Scientific I nteractive Calculator)

- Numerical algorithms from SLEIGN2 remain unchanged. Subroutines of SLEIGN2 package (programming language FORTRAN) are compiled into 'dll' file ('so' files in case of OS Linux ) and then they are called from BARSIC programs.
- Additional functions for calculation of first and second derivatives were created (It's necessary to write them in FORTRAN when SLEIGN2 is used directly)



$$
A 1 *[y, u] \text { (leftBound) }+A 2^{*}[y, v] \text { (leftBound) }=0
$$

$$
\mathrm{A} 1=1 \quad \mathrm{~A} 2=0
$$

Right bound K 2 : $\quad$ LIMIT_CIRCLE_NON-OSC $\quad \square$

$$
\text { A1*y (leftBound) }+A 2 * p \text { (leftBound) } * y^{\prime}(\text { leftBound })=0
$$

```
            B1 = 1 B2 = 0
```

$u(x)=1$
$v(x)=0 \cdot 5 * \ln ((1 \cdot 0+x) /(1 \cdot 0-x))$


```
    BARSIC Sleign2 - solving the Sturm-Liuville problem
```

    BARSIC Sleign2 - solving the Sturm-Liuville problem
    Problem:

```

\section*{THE LEGENDRE EQUATION}
```

EQUATION: -( p(x)*y'(x) )' + q(x)*y(x)= Eigen_Value * w(x) * y(x)

```
EQUATION: -( p(x)*y'(x) )' + q(x)*y(x)= Eigen_Value * w(x) * y(x)
    p(x) = 1 - x*x
    q(x) = 1/4
    w(x) = 1
```






The comparison between SLEIGN2 and well known mathematical packages (Mathematica, Maple, COMSOL Multiphysics) shows that SLEIGN2 is more efficient from the point of view time of computation and numerical errors. Moreover, is not possible to solve problem with coupled conditions in Maple and Mathematica.
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Thank you for attention!

