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## Motivation Spiral-CT

- Circular FBP is limited in
z-direction
- Constant movement throw the rotating
source
■ This results in a helical movement



## Supposition

■ Physics

- Fan-Beam-Geometry

■ Parallel Rebinning

- Filtered Backprojection


## Overview helical reconstruction algorithms

■ exact reconstruction algorithms
■ Kudo et al. 1998

- Tam et al. 2000

■ Schaller et al. 2000
■ Katsevich et al. 2002
■ approximative algorithms
■ Larson et al. 1998

- Kachelriess et al. 200

■ Bruder et al. 2000
■ Schaller et al. 2001

- Flohr et al. 2003

■ Stiersdorfer et al. 2004

## Challenges

- computational complexity for exact algorithms is significantly higher
- exact algorithms are not able to deal with redundant data

■ most approximative algorithms produces good images up to cone angle of $3.2^{\circ}$

## Goales for Stiersdorfer et al. 2002

A multislice spiral algorithm for medical applications should satisfy the following criteria:

1 good image quality (clinical)
2 dose efficient
3 able to use variable pitch
4 capable to cope redudant or missing data
5 reconstruction time should be suitable for clinical needs
The segmented multiple plane reconstruction algorithm (SMPR) fulfils these demands for cone angles up to $6.4^{\circ}$, but is computationally not very effective.

## 3D Weighted FBP

Weighted filtered backprojection (WFBP) published 2004 by
Karl Stiersdorfer, Annabella Rauscher, Jan Boese, Herbert Bruder, Stefan Schaller and Thomas Flohr

Algorithm structure:

- rebinning
- filtering

■ weighted backprojection

## 3D Geometry (1)

- Cone-Beam Geometry
■ Projection:
$p_{\alpha}(\beta, b)$



## 3D Geometry (2)



## 3D Rebinning (1)

- 3D Rebinning is done like 2D Rebinning, but per detector row.
- The picture shows

Azimuthal Rebinning.


## 3D Rebinning (2)

- The view parallel to the horizontal rays shows almost no error.
- The sources are on the helix shaped trajectory, so the rays can't be on one plane.
- The Virtual Detector is in the background, the sources are in the foreground.



## 3D Rebinning (3)

- Looking parallel to the rays through the lowest row of the Virtual Detector

■ The rays are not in a plane, but are filtered along this curve. That's why it's called a inexact reconstruction.


## Filtering

- Filtering is done in row-direction
- Each row of the pseudo-parallel projections is filtered with a high-pass filter



## 3D Backprojection (1)

- $\frac{d a_{1}}{d x_{i}}$ in Detector Columns
- $\frac{d a_{2}}{d x_{i}}$ in $m m$
- $x_{i}$ in Voxel
- $\vec{a}\left(\alpha, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$
$=\vec{a}_{0}(\alpha)+$
$+x_{1} \frac{d \vec{a}}{d x_{1}}(\alpha)+x_{2} \frac{d \vec{a}}{d x_{2}}(\alpha)$
■ $\vec{a}\left(\alpha, x_{1}+1, x_{2}\right)=$
$\vec{a}\left(\alpha, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\frac{d \vec{a}}{d x_{1}}(\alpha)$



## 3D Backprojection (2)



## 3D Backprojection (3)

Backprojection in principle the same:
■ Transform $v=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right)^{T}$ to rotated coordinate $v^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, z\right)^{T}$
■ Calculate virtual source position $s_{\alpha}\left(a_{2}\right)$ through the voxel $v^{\prime}$
■ Interpolate corresponding projection value $p_{\alpha}\left(a_{2}, b\right)$

- Add up this value to voxel's result


## 3D Backprojection (4)

But $p_{\alpha}\left(a_{2}, b\right)$ is not add up directly, it's weighted by function $w_{Q}(q)$ before.


## Thank you for Attention

## Any Questions?

