

Solid

#### **MB-JASS 2006 Zelenograd**, Moscow **Nanobiotechnology and Biosensors**



Alexander Mehlich

I. Motivation II. Introduction III. Theoretical Approach IV. Experiment V. Simulation **VI.** Application VII. Review

# Motivation

Understanding of Solid/Liquid-Interface is inevitable for applications in the field of Nanobiotechnology and Biosensors



• Biosensors – metal/electrolyte-contact e.g. ISFET:



- Nanotubes solid tubes with liquid inside
  - Electrokinetics & microfluidics

# Introduction

## Solid

- Dominant forces: covalent bonding
- Structure: crystalline grid
- Smallest unit: unit cell  $\rightarrow$  *immobile*



Conductance: electronic

## Liquid

- Dominant forces: ionic and hydrogen bonds
  - special: hydration, surface tension
- Structure: no particular order
- Smallest unit: atoms and molecules  $\rightarrow$  *mobile*



Conductance: ionic

## Theoretical Approach Helmholtz layer

### Concept of the "Electric double layer"

### • The Helmholtz layer:

charged surface

→ electric field

 $\rightarrow$  attraction of counterions

 $\rightarrow$  arrangement of *plate-capacitor* with molecular size

### Capacitance per unit area:

$$C_{H}^{A} = \frac{\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{0}}{d} \quad d \text{ - half diameter of solvated ion}$$
$$\mathcal{E} \text{ - dielectric constant of water}$$



### Potential - Poisson equation (PE):



## **Theoretical Approach**

Gouy Chapman -

#### **Poisson-Boltzmann**

### • Gouy-Chapman Theory (GCT):

thermal fluctuations of charge carriers → ,,diffuse electric double layer"



#### Boltzmann equation (BE):

$$c_{i} = c_{i}^{0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-W_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right) \implies \rho = e(c^{+} - c^{-}) = ec_{0} \cdot \left[\exp\left(-\frac{e\psi(x, y, z)}{k_{B}T}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{e\psi(x, y, z)}{k_{B}T}\right)\right]$$

use for local charge density

Poisson-Boltzmann equation (PBE = PB + BE):

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{c_0 e}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{e\psi}{k_B T}} - e^{\frac{e\psi}{k_B T}} \right)$$

assumptions made:

- 1:1 salt; otherwise:  $e \rightarrow z_i \cdot e$
- only electric work done

### Applying PBE to a planar surface:

### **Theoretical Approach**

Gouy Chapman -

planar surface II







- exponential drop of potential
- increase of salt concentration
- $\rightarrow$  steeper drop, shorter  $\lambda_D$

reason: better screening of surface charge with more ions



## Theoretical Approach

Gouy Chapman -

### Comparing linear and full solution of PBE:



20 mM monovalent salt

### Capacity of the diffuse electric double layer:

 $\rightarrow$  relation between surface charge  $\sigma$  and surface potential  $\psi_0$ ?



### Discussion – limitations of GCT:

- finite size of ions neglected
- continuous charge distribution considered
- non-Coulombic interactions disregarded
- $\bullet$  continuous solvent with constant permittivity  $\epsilon$
- flat surface assumed

• ...

BUT - good predictions for symmetric electrolytes at: salt concentrations < 0.2 M potentials < 50-80 mV

## Theoretical Approach Stern's Modification

**Tuning GCT:** 



I. Motivation

II. Introduction

III. Theoretical Approach

## **IV. Experiment**

V. Simulation

VI. Application

VII. Review

#### Adsorbtion at the Interface:

charge density at interface?

→ experiment: **mercury drop** in electrolyte

n - # of charge carriers on surface,  $\mu$ - chem. potential

special: surface tension -

$$\gamma = \frac{\partial G}{\partial A}$$

p, T - const formation of surface unfavourable

#### **Gibbs Adsorption Equation:**

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{$$

 $\rightarrow$  p, T const

onst: 
$$-d\gamma = \sum_{i} \frac{n_{i}^{\sigma}}{A} d\overline{\mu_{i}}$$
 - Gibbs Adsorption isotherm  
 $\sigma^{M} = -F \frac{n_{e}}{A}$  - excess charge  
 $-d\gamma = \sigma^{M} dE$  - electrocapillary equation



here anions specifically adsorb, cations not

Parameters influencing specific adsorption:

• Charge density on solid surface

specific adsorption of ion ↑
↔ opposite charge within solid ↑

note:

anions can be adsorbed at negative charge (see graph)



Ion Size Effect
size of ion ↑
→ specific adsorption ↑
( hydration weaker...)



### • IonType

anions have greater tendency to spec. adsorb than cations

(for metals observed...)

#### • Hydration

strong primary hydration sheath  $\rightarrow$  little specific adsorption

Anion	F(-)	CI(-)	Br(-)	l(-)
Ion-Solvent Interaction [kcal/mol]	-20.6	-13.6	-12.2	-10.7

Concentration Change

higher concentration  $\rightarrow$  higher specific adsorption

• Temperature

increase of temperature → decrease of specific adsorption



of general importance is:

• type of the solid

. . .

 $\rightarrow$  type of "docking stations" for adsorbates (surface texture)

• solvent-solid interaction

 $\rightarrow$  solvation of surface + desorption for creating vacancies

• solvent-adsorbate interaction

 $\rightarrow$  hydration sheath

### **Problem:**

- many influences can be measured directly, others cannot
- there are experimental results striking the so far developed theory
- complexity of parameters to be considered is rising

 $\rightarrow$  no "all-describing"-theory found yet!

### Way out:

developing models for simulation + comparing results with real experiment

#### Four state model (1975):

experimental data – capacity over excess charge:



#### special property of water: DIPOLE



idea: dipoles cause extra potential drop  $\rightarrow$  influence on capacitance

assumption: no specific adsorption  $\rightarrow$  first adsorbed layer *only* water  $\rightarrow$  four states to be differed



μ

 $\mu_c$ 

Monolayer of water with single molecules + clusters of molecules - 2 dipole directions

 $\rightarrow$  4 states

N-# molecules of certain state in monolayer  $\mu-dipole$  moment

#### **Energies:**

solvent molecule in cluster -

free solvent molecule -

charge on meta  

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 U_c^+ &= -\mu_c \, \overline{\sigma} \, / \, \varepsilon \\
 U_c^- &= \mu_c \, \overline{\sigma} \, / \, \varepsilon
 \end{bmatrix}$$

monolayer permittivity

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle +} = -\mu\sigma/\varepsilon + \boldsymbol{U}_b^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \\ \mathbf{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle -} = \mu\sigma/\varepsilon + \boldsymbol{U}_b^{\scriptscriptstyle -} \end{array} \end{array}$$

due to different bonding

Potential drop across inner layer:

 $N^{-}$ 

 $N_c^+$ 

 $N_c^-$ 

 $N^+$ 

$$\Delta \phi = \overset{free charge}{\psi} + \underset{dipoles}{\chi} = \frac{\sigma}{C_0} + \chi$$

$$\chi = -\mu_c N_c^+ / \varepsilon + \mu_c N_c^- / \varepsilon - \mu N^+ / \varepsilon + \mu N^- / \varepsilon$$

idea: Boltzmann for N + varying parameters

#### Four State Model - results



results from adapting the parameters:

 $\mathcal{E}, \mu_c, U_h^+, U_h^-, C_0$ 

- asymmetry, because of different stability of 2 orientations of free molecules
- 3 water molecules per cluster
- total dipole moment of cluster approx same as dipole moment of free molecule
   → ring groupings of water possible:



• maxima + minima are deduced as high or low switching-possibilities of the dipole orientation:



Diffuse Layer Capacitance:

More experimental capacitance-data:

Influence of diffuse layer around pzc strong for low concentrations:



Huge minimum at very low concentrations

#### **Adsorption Capacitance**

 $\overline{1-\theta}$ 

#### Adsorption Capacitance:

• Assume absorbed charged molecules

 $\rightarrow$  Adsorption isotherm – here: Langmuir isotherm:

• Surface charge: 
$$Q_{Sadsp} = q_i \cdot \theta$$

 $\rightarrow$  Capacitance due to adsorbed molecules =



∆G/kT

 $=a_i^b e^{-\Delta G_i^0/RT} e^{-z_i F \phi/RT}$ 



### Simulation Molecular Dynamics Simulations –

model, results

(2004)

# Molecular Dynamics Simulations (MDS) of water at hydrophobic substrates:

- <u>Aim</u>: water density depending on curvature (spherical, planar)
  - temperature
  - pressure
- <u>Model</u>: for interactions used models Lennard-Jones + Coulomb potential - Buckingham potential

simulation cell: cubic box filled with  $\sim 1000 - 3000$  water molecules periodic boundary conditions

- <u>Results</u> I: *spherical* solute in box
  - increased density for small solute
  - water depletion for large solute
  - Temperature increase causes decrease of density
  - Same pressure dependence as at Results II



### **Simulation** Molecular Dynamics Simulations – results II

#### • <u>Results</u> II: *planar* surface in box



Snapshot of the MD simulation of a planar 64 alkane molecules + 2781 water molecules



- water depletion at interface  $\rightarrow$  layer thickness  $\sim 2.5$  A
- high pressure reduces depletion layer
- layerthickness rises with Temperature
- potential drop at interface
  - $\rightarrow$  caused by dipoles
  - $\rightarrow$  top water layer oriented





### **Simulation** Molecular Dynamics Simulations –

### Experiments on depletion (2003):

Experimental data also gives reason to a depletion layer of water on hydrophobic substrates:



NRM: Kiessig oszillations due to gas layer

AFM: tapping mode topology image of *"nanobubbles*"

I. Motivation
II. Introduction
III. Theoretical Approach
IV. Experiment
V. Simulation

**VI.** Application

VII. Review

# Application

### **Electrokinetics:**

due to strong electrostatic forces ions in the inner layer are *immobile*under pressure driven flow (pdf) ions of the diffuse layer are able to *move* → shear plane is formed





#### zeta-potential:

$$\zeta = \psi(x_{shear}) - \psi(x_{bulk})$$

# Application

#### **Electrokinetics** –

#### stream current & potential

#### fluid in a (micro)tube:

- zeta-potential ≠ 0 grants movable net charges in diffuse layer
- pdf induces a stream of charges in a capillary:



$$I_{stream} = \int_{A} v_D(r) \rho(r) dA = 2\pi r \rho_D v_D$$
  
capillary radius

 $\sigma_D$ - charge densitiy in diffuse layer  $V_D$ - drift velocitiy in diffuse layer

• depletion and accumulation of charges at ends of capillary respectively:

$$C = \frac{\pi r^2 k}{l} - conductance$$
 Ohm's law

$$U_{stream} = \frac{i}{C} = \frac{2\sigma_D v_D l}{r \cdot k}$$

(k = specific conductivity of solution)

### Application Electrokinetic Measurements on Adsorption -

idea

<u>Analysing charge density of the inner layer using electrokinetic measurements:</u> (2001)

**ζ-potential** separates *immobile* and *mobile* layer

basic idea: sign of  $\zeta$ -potential reveals sign of total charge within the inner layer

electrokinetic values measured: *streaming potential & current* correlation with ζ-potential:



$$\zeta(I_s) = \frac{\eta L}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r bh} \frac{dI_s}{dp}$$



experimental setup

### Application Electrokinetic Measurements on Adsorption -



-100

10

10

10-3

10

10

 $c_{\rm KCI}$  [mol/l]  $\zeta$  potential of Teflon AF versus KC1 concentration

- only double layer compression

10

101

- first OH-ions preferred, afterwards:
- compression of the double layer
  - → increasing surface charge compensation already within inner layer (screening...)

### Application Electrokinetic Measurements on Adsorption -

results

**Conclusions:** 

preferential adsorption:

$$OH^{-} > H_{3}O^{+} >> Cl^{-} = K^{+}$$

• pH-dependence:
 → low IEP proves result above

important factors for adsorption:

- structure of hydration shell important (OH-ion known to have less stable hydration structures → escapes more easily and builds up hydrogen bonds with interfacial water)
- capability to bind interfacial water via hydrogen bonds



- another experiment using pH-Dependant Force Spectroscopy leads to conclusion:
  - immobilized interfacial water acting as template for hydroxyl adsorption
  - density of molecular units of water building networks on the surface crucial

### Electroosmosis:

- Applying *electric field* along capillary → movement of liquid relative to stationary charged surface
- electric field induces *force* on net charge in diffuse layer
- diffuse layer displacement leads to *dragging* of bulk fluid





### drift velocity of fluid:

- Helmholtz-Smoluchowski

 $\mu_c$  - osmotic mobility

# Application

#### **Electroosmosis – properties**

- advantage of electroosmosis:
- *the* way to have **laminar flow** in microfluidics at low Reynold's numbers
  - example:
    - $\zeta$ -potential = -100mV
    - electric field =  $2.5 \times 10^3 V/m$

$$v_{\varsigma} = 200 \,\mu m \,/\,s$$





time development after switching on the e-field  $\rightarrow$  dragging  $\rightarrow$  laminar flow

## Review



Electrokinetics, microfluidics...

## References

•! Hans Juergen Butt, Karlheinz Graf, Michael Kappl, Physics and Chemistry of Interfaces, Wiley-VCH Verlag & Co. KGaA, 2003

- S. Roy Morrison, Electrochemistry at Semiconductor and Oxidized Metal Electrodes, Plenum Press, New York 1980
- Horst Kuchling, **Taschenbuch der Physik**, 17. Auflage, Fachbuchverlag Leipzig im Carl Hanser Verlag, München Wien 2001

• J. O'M. Bockris, Brian E. Conway, Ernest Yeager, **Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry -** Volume 1: The Double Layer, Plenum Press, New York and London 1975+

Roger Parsons, A Primitive Four State Model for Solvent at the Electrode-Solution Interface, printed in Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry, 59, 229-237, Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne - printed in Netherlands 1975
Rolando Guidelli, Wolfgang Schmickler, Recent Developments in Models for the Interface between a Metal and an Aqueous Solution, printed in Electrochimica Acta, 45,

2317-2338, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2000

## References

Ralf Zimmermann, Stanislav Dukhin, Carsten Werner, Electrokinetic Measurements Reveal Interfacial Charge at Polymer Films Caused by Simple Electrolyte Ions, printed in J. Phys. Chem., 105, 8544-8549, American Chemical Society, on web 2001
Christian Dicke, Georg Haehner, pH-Dependent Force Spectroscopy of Tri(ethylene Glycol)- and Methyl-Terminated Self-Assembled Monolayers Adsorbed on Gold, JACS articles, 124, 12619-12625, American Chemical Society, on web 2002
Roland Steitz, ..., Nanobubbles and Their Precursor Layer at the Interface of Water Against a Hydrophobic Substrate, Langmuir, 19, 2409- 2418, American Chemical Society, on web 2003
Roland R. Netz, Shavkat I. Mamatkulov, Pulat K. Khabibullaev, Water at Hydrophobic Substrates: Curvature, Pressure and Temperature Effects, Langmuir, 20, 4756-4763,

American Chemical Society, on web 2004



Qualitative:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= 5.3 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \\ d &= 0.31 nm \end{aligned} C_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{d} \approx 15 \mu F / cm^2 \\ \mu_c &= 0.28 \cdot \mu = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-30} Cm \\ N_{tot} &= 7 \cdot 10^{14} cm^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

