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## Functional Programming

What? (Lisp, Scheme, Ocaml, Haskell)

- Functions treated as objects?
- Recursion's domination
- List Processing?

■ No statements.. but expressions?

- What is more important that How
- Higher order functions...

■ Mathematical look?

## Advantages?

Pure/Clean/Short code?
■ Formal provability.

- Modularity.
- Composability.

■ Ease of debugging and testing.

## Lambda

On the fly functions? or simply expressions?

```
I
2 if <condl>:
        funcl()
4 elif <cond2>:
        func2()
    else:
        func3()
        (<condl> and funcl()) or (<cond2> and func2())
                            or (func3())
12
13
14
15>>> X = 3
16 >>> def pr(s): return s
17>>> (x==1 and pr('one')) or (x==2 and pr('two'))
```


## or (pr('other'))

19 ' other'
$20 \ggg x=2$
${ }_{21} \ggg\left(x==1\right.$ and $\operatorname{pr}\left(\right.$ 'one' $\left.^{\prime}\right)$ ) or $(x==2$ and $\operatorname{pr}($ 'two' $))$
${ }_{31} \ggg$ namenum(2) 'two'
$32 \ggg$ namenum(3) ' other'

## Map, Reduce, Filter

Refresh (Replacing FOR loops?)

## for e in lst: func(e)

${ }_{2} \operatorname{mop}(f u n c$, Ist)

## While loops

They can be replaced too. But why? Saves trouble by not giving values to variables. How?
Example: Print Big Products.

```
l
2 XS = (1,2,3,4)
3 ys = (10,15,3,22)
4 bigmuls = ()
5 ...more stuff...
- for X in XS:
            for y in ys:
                if }x*y>25
                bigmuls . append ((x,y))
    print bigmuls
```


## Functional Way?

1 bigmuls = lambda xs,ys:
2 filter (lambda ( $x, y$ ): $x * y>25$, combine ( $x s, y s$ ))
combine = lambda xs,ys: map(None, xs*len(ys), dupelms(ys,len(xs)))
dupelms =
lambda $\mathrm{Is} \dagger, \mathrm{n}$ : reduce(lambda $\mathrm{s}, \dagger: \mathrm{s}+\dagger$, map(lambda $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}:(\mathrm{I}) * \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Is} \dagger)$ )

10 print bigmuls((1,2,3,4),(10,15,3,22))


## Functional Module

functional provides Python users with numerous tools common in functional programming, such as foldl, foldr, flip, as well as mechanisms for partial function application and function composition.
functional comes in two flavours: one is written in a combination of $C$ and Python, focusing on performance. The second is written in pure Python and emphasises code readability and portability.
compose (outer, inner, unpack=False) compose implements function composition. In other words, it returns a wrapper around the outer and inner callables, such that the return value from inner is fed directly to outer.
$1 \ggg$ def $\operatorname{add}(a, b)$ :
$2 \ldots \quad$ return $a+b$
3 ...
$4 \ggg$ def double(a):
return $2 * a$
6 ...
$7 \ggg$ compose(double, add) $(5,6)$
822
flip(func)
flip wraps the callable in func, causing it to receive its non-keyword arguments in reverse order.

1 >>> def triple $(a, b, c)$ : return ( $a, b, c$ )

```
3 . , ,
```

$4 \ggg$ triple $(5,6,7)$
5 (5, 6, 7)

r>>> flipped_triple = flip(triple)
8 >>> flipped_triple $(5,6,7)$
, $(7,6,5)$
foldl(func, start, iterable)
foldl takes a binary function, a starting value (usually some kind of 'zero'), and an iterable.
The function is applied to the starting value and the first element of the list, then the result of that and the second element of the list, then the result of that and the third element of the list, and so on.

# if len(seq) == 0: return start 

## return foldl(func, func(start, seq(0)), seq(1:))

## Documentation

Functional Programming Howto - python.org http://docs.python.org/dev/howto/functional.htm

## Chained Decorators

We saw decorators already. No one stops us from decorating a function twice (or more)

1 @synchronized
2 @logging
def myfunc(arg1, arg2, ...):
4 ...do something
decorators are equivalent to:
myfunc = synchronized(logging(myfunc))
Nested in that declaration order

## Bad Decoration

(no function/callable is returned)
$1 \ggg$ def spamdef(fn):
2 ... print "spam, spam, spam"
3 . . .
$4 \ggg$ @spamdef
$5 \ldots$ def useful(a, b):

- ... print $a * * 2+b * * 2$

7 . . .
8 spam, spam, spam
, $\ggg$ useful $(3,4)$
10 Traceback (most recent call last):
File "<stdin>", line 1, in ?
12 TypeError: 'NoneType' object is not callable

## Class Factory

Decorators do not let you modify class instantiation, but can massage the methods. No adjustments @ instantiation, but can change the behaviour at runtime.
Now technically, a decorator applies when a class statement is run, which for top-level classes is closer to "compile time" than to "runtime."
def arg_sayer(what):
def what_sayer(meth): def new(self, *args, **kws): print what return meth(self, *args, **kws) return new
return what_sayer
def FooMaker(word):
class Foo(object):
@arg_sayer (word)
def say (self): pass
return Foo()
15 fool = FooMaker('this')
16 foo2 = FooMaker('that')
17 print type(fool), fool.say()

18 Output : <class '__main_..Foo'> this
19 print type(foo2),; foo2.say()
2 Output : <class '__main__.Foo'> that

■ The Foo.say() method has different behaviors for different instances.

- The undecorated Foo.say() method in this case is a simple placeholder, with the entire behavior determined by the decorator.
- As already observed, the modification of Foo.say() is determined strictly at runtime, via the use of the FooMaker() class factory.

■ The decorator is parameterized. Or rather arg_sayer() itself is not really a decorator at all; rather, the function returned by arg_sayer(), namely what_sayer(), is a decorator function that uses a closure to encapsulate its data. Parameterized decorators are common, but they wind up needed functions nested three-levels deep.

## Artificial MetaClass

Decorators cannot completely modify the behaviour of classes. But they can modify the _new_- () method. (Will see metaclass_ next week.)
${ }^{1}$ def flaz (self): return 'flaz'
def flam (self): return 'flam'
3
def change_methods(new):
"Warning: Only decorate the $\qquad$ new $\qquad$ () method if new. __name__ != '__new__' : return new
def __new_(cls, *args, $* * k w s)$ :
cls.flaz $=$ flaz
cls.flam = flam if hasattr(cls, 'say'): del cls.say return super (cls.__class_, cls). __new__ return __new_-
${ }_{15}$ class Foo(object):
@change_methods def __new_( ): pass

## Some Class things

- Pass self manually
- Check for propery and method existence

■ Modify classs after creation

## , class Class:

def a_method(self): print 'Hey a method'

4
5 instance $=$ Class()
6
\% instance.a_method()
'Hey a method'
9
Class.a_method(instance)
'Hey a method'
12
13
${ }^{14}$ class Class:
$15 \quad$ answer $=42$
16
17 hasattr(Class, 'answer')

## 18 True

19 hasattr(Class, 'question')
${ }_{20}$ False

35 'Hey a method'
${ }^{37}$ def new_method(self):

40 Class.method $=$ new_method
41 instance.method()
42 'New method wins!'
Needless to mention, modifying classes is not a great idea.

## Resources

■ http://www.siafoo.net/article/52
http://wiki.python.org/moin/PythonSpeed/Pert Eg. String Concatenation: Use "join"

```
1 newlist = ()
2 for word in oldlist:
                newlist.append(word.upper())
4
5 . . . . . . . . . . . .
* upper = str.upper
7 newlist = ()
& append = newlist.append
, for word in oldlist:
                append(upper(word))
1 1
12
13
14 -- 40k Words _-
15
16 Version Time (seconds)
17 Basic loop 3.47
```

${ }_{18}$ Eliminate dots 2.45 Using map function 0.54

1 wdict $=\{ \}$
2 for word in words: if word not in wdict: wdict (word) $=0$ wdict (word) $+=1$
wdict $=\{ \}$

- for word in words:
try:
wdict(word) $+=1$
except KeyError: wdict (word) $=1$

16 wdict $=\{ \}$
17 get = wdict.get

18 for word in words: wdict (word) $=$ get(word, 0$)+1$
More or less same time taken now.

