Let $I$ denote the solution obtained by the first rounding algorithm and $I^{\prime}$ be the solution returned by the second algorithm. Then

$$
I \subseteq I^{\prime}
$$

This means $I^{\prime}$ is never better than $I$.

- Suppose that we take $S_{i}$ in the first algorithm. I.e., $i \in I$.
- This means $x_{i} \geq \frac{1}{f}$.
- Because of Complementary Slackness Conditions the corresponding constraint in the dual must be tight.
- Hence, the second algorithm will also choose $S_{i}$.


## Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

```
Algorithm 1 PrimalDual
    \(y \leftarrow 0\)
    \(I \leftarrow \emptyset\)
    while exists \(u \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} S_{i}\) do
        increase dual variable \(y_{i}\) until constraint for some
        new set \(S_{\ell}\) becomes tight
5: \(\quad I \leftarrow I \cup\{\ell\}\)
```


## Technique 4: The Greedy Algorithm

```
Algorithm 1 Greedy
    I
    \mp@subsup{S}{j}{}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{S}{j}{}\quad\mathrm{ for all }j
    while I not a set cover do
            \ell\leftarrow\operatorname{arg min}}\mp@subsup{\operatorname{m:S}}{j\not=0}{}\frac{\mp@subsup{w}{j}{}}{|\mp@subsup{\hat{S}}{j}{}|
            I\leftarrowI\cup{\ell}
            \mp@subsup{S}{j}{}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{\hat{S}}{j}{}-\mp@subsup{S}{\ell}{}\quad\mathrm{ for all }j
```

In every round the Greedy algorithm takes the set that covers remaining elements in the most cost-effective way.

We choose a set such that the ratio between cost and still uncovered elements in the set is minimized.

## Technique 4: The Greedy Algorithm

## Lemma 4

Given positive numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ then

$$
\min _{i} \frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i} a_{i}}{\sum_{i} b_{i}} \leq \max _{i} \frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}
$$

## Technique 4: The Greedy Algorithm

Adding this set to our solution means $n_{\ell+1}=n_{\ell}-\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right|$.

$$
w_{j} \leq \frac{\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right| \mathrm{OPT}}{n_{\ell}}=\frac{n_{\ell}-n_{\ell+1}}{n_{\ell}} \cdot \mathrm{OPT}
$$

## Technique 4: The Greedy Algorithm

Let $n_{\ell}$ denote the number of elements that remain at the beginning of iteration $\ell . n_{1}=n=|U|$ and $n_{s+1}=0$ if we need $s$ iterations.

In the $\ell$-th iteration

$$
\min _{j} \frac{w_{j}}{\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right|} \leq \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathrm{OPT}} w_{j}}{\sum_{j \in \mathrm{OPT}}\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right|}=\frac{\mathrm{OPT}}{\sum_{j \in \mathrm{OPT}}\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right|} \leq \frac{\mathrm{OPT}}{n_{\ell}}
$$

since an optimal algorithm can cover the remaining $n_{\ell}$ elements with cost OPT.

Let $\hat{S}_{j}$ be a subset that minimizes this ratio. Hence,
$w_{j} /\left|\hat{S}_{j}\right| \leq \frac{\mathrm{OPT}}{n_{\ell}}$.

## Technique 4: The Greedy Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in I} w_{j} & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} \frac{n_{\ell}-n_{\ell+1}}{n_{\ell}} \cdot \mathrm{OPT} \\
& \leq \mathrm{OPT} \sum_{\ell=1}^{s}\left(\frac{1}{n_{\ell}}+\frac{1}{n_{\ell}-1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n_{\ell+1}+1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{OPT} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i} \\
& =H_{n} \cdot \mathrm{OPT} \leq \mathrm{OPT}(\ln n+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

