# **Facility Location**

Given a set L of (possible) locations for placing facilities and a set D of customers together with cost functions  $s: D \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and  $o: L \to \mathbb{R}^+$  find a set of facility locations F together with an assignment  $\phi: D \to F$  of customers to open facilities such that

$$\sum_{f \in F} o(f) + \sum_{c} s(c, \phi(c))$$

is minimized.

In the metric facility location problem we have

$$s(c, f) \le s(c, f') + s(c', f) + s(c', f')$$
.

EADS II © Harald Räcke EADS II

476

478

# **Facility Location**

# **Dual Linear Program**

# **Facility Location**

# **Integer Program**

$$\begin{array}{lllll} & & \sum_{i \in F} f_i \mathcal{Y}_i + \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in D} c_{ij} \mathcal{X}_{ij} \\ & \text{s.t.} & \forall j \in D & \sum_{i \in F} x_{ij} & = & 1 \\ & \forall i \in F, j \in D & x_{ij} & \leq & \mathcal{Y}_i \\ & \forall i \in F, j \in D & x_{ij} & \in & \{0, 1\} \\ & \forall i \in F & \mathcal{Y}_i & \in & \{0, 1\} \end{array}$$

As usual we get an LP by relaxing the integrality constraints.

EADS II © Harald Räcke

22 Facility Location

477

# **Facility Location**

## **Definition 2**

Given an LP solution  $(x^*, y^*)$  we say that facility i neighbours client *j* if  $x_{ij} > 0$ . Let  $N(j) = \{i \in F : x_{ij}^* > 0\}$ .

22 Facility Location

479

#### Lemma 3

If  $(x^*, y^*)$  is an optimal solution to the facility location LP and  $(v^*, w^*)$  is an optimal dual solution, then  $x^*_{ij} > 0$  implies  $c_{ij} \le v^*_j$ .

Follows from slackness conditions.

EADS II © Harald Räcke 22 Facility Location

480

482

# Problem: Facility cost may be huge!

Suppose we can partition a subset  $F' \subseteq F$  of facilities into neighbour sets of some clients. I.e.

$$F' = \biguplus_k N(j_k)$$

where  $j_1, j_2, \ldots$  form a subset of the clients.

Suppose we open set  $S \subseteq F$  of facilities s.t. for all clients we have  $S \cap N(j) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then every client j has a facility i s.t. assignment cost for this client is at most  $c_{ij} \leq v_i^*$ .

Hence, the total assignment cost is

$$\sum_{j} c_{i_j j} \le \sum_{j} v_j^* \le \text{OPT} ,$$

where  $i_i$  is the facility that client j is assigned to.

EADS II © Harald Räcke

22 Facility Location

401

Now in each set  $N(j_k)$  we open the cheapest facility. Call it  $f_{i_k}$ .

We have

$$f_{i_k} = f_{i_k} \sum_{i \in N(j_k)} x_{ij_k}^* \le \sum_{i \in N(j_k)} f_i x_{ij_k}^* \le \sum_{i \in N(j_k)} f_i y_i^*$$
.

Summing over all k gives

$$\sum_{k} f_{i_k} \leq \sum_{k} \sum_{i \in N(j_k)} f_i y_i^* = \sum_{i \in F'} f_i y_i^* \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^*$$

Facility cost is at most the facility cost in an optimum solution.

22 Facility Location

Problem: so far clients  $j_1, j_2, \ldots$  have a neighboring facility. What about the others?

## **Definition 4**

Let  $N^2(j)$  denote all neighboring clients of the neighboring facilities of client j.

Note that N(j) is a set of facilities while  $N^2(j)$  is a set of clients.

EADS II © Harald Räcke 22 Facility Location

484

486

Facility cost of this algorithm is at most  $\mathrm{OPT}$  because the sets  $N(j_k)$  are disjoint.

## Total assignment cost:

- Fix k; set  $j = j_k$  and  $i = i_k$ . We know that  $c_{ij} \le v_i^*$ .
- ▶ Let  $\ell \in N^2(j)$  and h (one of) its neighbour(s) in N(j).

$$c_{i\ell} \le c_{ij} + c_{hj} + c_{h\ell} \le v_j^* + v_j^* + v_\ell^* \le 3v_\ell^*$$

Summing this over all facilities gives that the total assignment cost is at most  $3 \cdot OPT$ . Hence, we get a 4-approximation.

# Algorithm 1 FacilityLocation

- 1:  $C \leftarrow D//$  unassigned clients
- 2: *k* ← 0
- 3: while  $C \neq 0$  do
- 4:  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 5: choose  $j_k \in C$  that minimizes  $v_i^*$
- 6: choose  $i_k \in N(j_k)$  as cheapest facility
- assign  $j_k$  and all unassigned clients in  $N^2(j_k)$  to  $i_k$
- 8:  $C \leftarrow C \{j_k\} N^2(j_k)$

EADS II © Harald Räcke

22 Facility Location

485

In the above analysis we use the inequality

$$\sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^* \le OPT.$$

We know something stronger namely

$$\sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^* + \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in D} c_{ij} x_{ij}^* \le OPT.$$

**Observation:** 

▶ Suppose when choosing a client  $j_k$ , instead of opening the cheapest facility in its neighborhood we choose a random facility according to  $x_{i,j_k}^*$ .

► Then we incur connection cost

$$\sum_{i} c_{ij_k} x_{ij_k}^*$$

for client  $j_k$ . (In the previous algorithm we estimated this by  $v_{j_k}^*$ ).

Define

$$C_j^* = \sum_i c_{ij} x_{ij}^*$$

to be the connection cost for client j.

EADS II © Harald Räcke 22 Facility Location

488

## Algorithm 1 FacilityLocation

- 1:  $C \leftarrow D//$  unassigned clients
- 2:  $k \leftarrow 0$
- 3: while  $C \neq 0$  do
- 4:  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 5: choose  $j_k \in C$  that minimizes  $v_i^* + C_i^*$
- 6: choose  $i_k \in N(j_k)$  according to probability  $x_{ij_k}$ .
- 7: assign  $j_k$  and all unassigned clients in  $N^2(j_k)$  to  $i_k$
- 8:  $C \leftarrow C \{j_k\} N^2(j_k)$

# What will our facility cost be?

We only try to open a facility once (when it is in neighborhood of some  $j_k$ ). (recall that neighborhoods of different  $j_k's$  are disjoint).

We open facility i with probability  $x_{ij_k} \le y_i$  (in case it is in some neighborhood; otw. we open it with probability zero).

Hence, the expected facility cost is at most

$$\sum_{i\in F}f_iy_i.$$

EADS II © Harald Räcke

22 Facility Location

400

## Total assignment cost:

- Fix k; set  $i = i_k$ .
- Let  $\ell \in N^2(j)$  and h (one of) its neighbour(s) in N(j).
- If we assign a client  $\ell$  to the same facility as i we pay at most

$$\sum_{i} c_{ij} x_{ijk}^* + c_{hj} + c_{h\ell} \le C_j^* + v_j^* + v_\ell^* \le C_\ell^* + 2v_\ell^*$$

Summing this over all clients gives that the total assignment cost is at most

$$\sum_{j} C_j^* + \sum_{j} 2\nu_j^* \le \sum_{j} C_j^* + 2\mathsf{OPT}$$

Hence, it is at most 2OPT plus the total assignment cost in an optimum solution.

Adding the facility cost gives a 3-approximation.