Definition 2

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph $G = (V, V \times V, c)$ is a function $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$.

(capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \; .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



▲ ● ▲ ● ▲ ● ▲
114/491

Definition 2

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph $G = (V, V \times V, c)$ is a function $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$.

(capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \ .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



Definition 3 The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{X} f_{SX} - \sum_{X} f_{XS} .$$

Maximum Flow Problem: Find an (s,t)-flow with maximum value.



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ 個 ▶ ▲ 필 ▶ ▲ 필 ▶ 115/491

Definition 3 The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{X} f_{SX} - \sum_{X} f_{XS} .$$

Maximum Flow Problem:

Find an (s, t)-flow with maximum value.



| max | | $\sum_{z} f_{sz} - \sum_{z} f_{zs}$ | | | |
|------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|
| s.t. | $\forall (z, w) \in V \times V$ | f_{zw} | \leq | C_{ZW} | ℓ_{zw} |
| | $\forall w \neq s, t$ | $\sum_{z} f_{zw} - \sum_{z} f_{wz}$ | = | 0 | p_w |
| | | f_{zw} | \geq | 0 | |

| min | | $\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$ | | |
|------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|----|
| s.t. | $f_{xy}(x, y \neq s, t)$: | $1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$ | \geq | 0 |
| | $f_{sy}(y \neq s,t)$: | $1\ell_{sy}$ $+1p_y$ | \geq | 1 |
| | $f_{xs} (x \neq s, t)$: | $1\ell_{xs}-1p_x$ | \geq | -1 |
| | $f_{ty}(y \neq s,t)$: | $1\ell_{ty}$ $+1p_y$ | \geq | 0 |
| | $f_{xt} (x \neq s, t)$: | $1\ell_{xt}-1p_x$ | \geq | 0 |
| | f_{st} : | $1\ell_{st}$ | \geq | 1 |
| | f_{ts} : | $1\ell_{ts}$ | \geq | -1 |
| | | ℓ_{xy} | \geq | 0 |



5.6 Computing Duals



5.6 Computing Duals

◆ 個 ▶ ◆ 置 ▶ ◆ 置 ▶ 117/491

with $p_t = 0$ and $p_s = 1$.



5.6 Computing Duals

| min | | $\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$ | | |
|------|------------|--------------------------------|--------|---|
| s.t. | f_{xy} : | $1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$ | \geq | 0 |
| | | ℓ_{xy} | \geq | 0 |
| | | p_s | = | 1 |
| | | p_t | = | 0 |

We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$.



We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t(where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$.



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ @ ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 119/491

We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \le \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \le d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \le \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)).$



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ 個 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 119/491

We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality ($d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)$).



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_x = 1$ or $p_x = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_{\chi} = 1$ or $p_{\chi} = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_{\chi} = 1$ or $p_{\chi} = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.

