## Complementary Slackness

## Lemma 2

Assume a linear program $P=\max \left\{c^{T} x \mid A x \leq b ; x \geq 0\right\}$ has solution $x^{*}$ and its dual $D=\min \left\{b^{T} y \mid A^{T} y \geq c ; y \geq 0\right\}$ has solution $y^{*}$.

1. If $x_{j}^{*}>0$ then the $j$-th constraint in $D$ is tight.
2. If the $j$-th constraint in $D$ is not tight than $x_{j}^{*}=0$.
3. If $y_{i}^{*}>0$ then the $i$-th constraint in $P$ is tight.
4. If the $i$-th constraint in $P$ is not tight than $y_{i}^{*}=0$.

If we say that a variable $x_{j}^{*}\left(y_{i}^{*}\right)$ has slack if $x_{j}^{*}>0\left(y_{i}^{*}>0\right)$, (i.e., the corresponding variable restriction is not tight) and a contraint has slack if it is not tight, then the above says that for a primal-dual solution pair it is not possible that a constraint and its corresponding (dual) variable has slack.

## Proof: Complementary Slackness

Analogous to the proof of weak duality we obtain

$$
c^{T} x^{*} \leq y^{* T} A x^{*} \leq b^{T} y^{*}
$$

Because of strong duality we then get

$$
c^{T} x^{*}=y^{* T} A x^{*}=b^{T} y^{*}
$$

This gives e.g.

$$
\sum_{j}\left(y^{T} A-c^{T}\right)_{j} x_{j}^{*}=0
$$

From the constraint of the dual it follows that $y^{T} A \geq c^{T}$. Hence the left hand side is a sum over the product of non-negative numbers. Hence, if e.g. $\left(y^{T} A-c^{T}\right)_{j}>0$ (the $j$-th constraint in the dual is not tight) then $x_{j}=0$ (2.). The result for (1./3./4.) follows similarly.

## Interpretation of Dual Variables

- Brewer: find mix of ale and beer that maximizes profits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max 13 a+23 b \\
& \text { s.t. } 5 a+15 b \leq 480 \\
& 4 a+4 b \leq 160 \\
& 35 a+20 b \leq 1190 \\
& a, b \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Entrepeneur: buy resources from brewer at minimum cost $C, H, M$ : unit price for corn, hops and malt.

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
\min 480 C & +160 H & +1190 M \\
\text { s.t. } & 5 C & + & 4 H & + \\
& 15 C & + & 4 H & + \\
& & & & \\
& & C, H, M & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

Note that brewer won't sell (at least not all) if e.g. $5 C+4 H+35 M<13$ as then brewing ale would be advantageous.

## Interpretation of Dual Variables

## Marginal Price:

- How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by $\varepsilon_{C}, \varepsilon_{H}$, and $\varepsilon_{M}$, respectively.
The profit increases to $\max \left\{c^{T} x \mid A x \leq b+\varepsilon ; x \geq 0\right\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$
\begin{array}{crll|}
\hline \min & \left(b^{T}+\epsilon^{T}\right) y & \\
\text { s.t. } & A^{T} y & \geq c \\
& y & \geq 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Interpretation of Dual Variables

If $\epsilon$ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution $y^{*}$ might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} y_{i}^{*}$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.
Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.


## Example



The change in profit when increasing hops by one unit is

$$
=\underbrace{c_{B}^{T} A_{B}^{-1}}_{y^{*}} e_{h}
$$

Of course, the previous argument about the increase in the primal objective only holds for the non-degenerate case.

If the optimum basis is degenerate then increasing the supply of one resource may not allow the objective value to increase.

## Flows

## Definition 3

An $(s, t)$-flow in a (complete) directed graph $G=(V, V \times V, c)$ is a function $f: V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$that satisfies

1. For each edge $(x, y)$

$$
0 \leq f_{x y} \leq c_{x y} .
$$

## (capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \backslash\{s, t\}$

$$
\sum_{x} f_{v x}=\sum_{x} f_{x v} .
$$

(flow conservation constraints)

## Flows

## Definition 4

The value of an $(s, t)$-flow $f$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{val}(f)=\sum_{x} f_{s x}-\sum_{x} f_{x s} .
$$

## Maximum Flow Problem:

Find an ( $s, t$ )-flow with maximum value.

## LP-Formulation of Maxflow

| $\max$ |  | $\sum_{z} f_{s z}-\sum_{z} f_{z s}$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| s.t. | $\forall(z, w) \in V \times V$ | $f_{z w}$ | $\leq c_{z w}$ | $\ell_{z w}$ |
|  | $\forall w \neq s, t$ | $\sum_{z} f_{z w}-\sum_{z} f_{w z}$ | $=0$ | $p_{w}$ |
|  | $f_{z w}$ | $\geq 0$ |  |  |


| min |  | $\sum_{(x y)} c_{x y} \ell_{x y}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s.t. | $f_{x y}(x, y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x y}-1 p_{x}+1 p_{y}$ | $\geq 0$ |
|  | $f_{s y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{s y}+1 p_{y}$ | $\geq 1$ |
|  | $f_{x s}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x s}-1 p_{x}$ | $\geq-1$ |
|  | $f_{t y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{t y}+1 p_{y}$ | $\geq 0$ |
|  | $f_{x t}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x t}-1 p_{x}$ | $\geq 0$ |
|  | $f_{s t}:$ | $1 \ell_{s t}$ | $\geq 1$ |
|  | $f_{t s}:$ | $1 \ell_{t s}$ | $\geq-1$ |
|  |  | $\ell_{x y}$ | $\geq 0$ |

## LP-Formulation of Maxflow

| $\min$ |  | $\sum_{(x y)} c_{x y} \ell_{x y}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| s.t. | $f_{x y}(x, y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x y}-1 p_{x}+1 p_{y} \geq 0$ |  |
|  | $f_{s y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{s y}-1+1 p_{y} \geq 0$ |  |
|  | $f_{x s}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x s}-1 p_{x}+1 \geq 0$ |  |
|  | $f_{t y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{t y}-0+1 p_{y} \geq 0$ |  |
|  | $f_{x t}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x t}-1 p_{x}+0 \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{s t}:$ | $1 \ell_{s t}-1+0 \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{t s}:$ | $1 \ell_{t s}-0+1 \geq$ | 0 |
|  |  | $\ell_{x y} \geq$ | 0 |

## LP-Formulation of Maxflow

| $\min$ |  | $\sum_{(x y)} c_{x y} \ell_{x y}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| s.t. | $f_{x y}(x, y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x y}-1 p_{x}+1 p_{y} \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{s y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{s y}-p_{s}+1 p_{y} \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{x s}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x s}-1 p_{x}+p_{s} \geq 0$ |  |
|  | $f_{t y}(y \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{t y}-p_{t}+1 p_{y} \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{x t}(x \neq s, t):$ | $1 \ell_{x t}-1 p_{x}+p_{t} \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{s t}:$ | $1 \ell_{s t}-p_{s}+p_{t} \geq$ | 0 |
|  | $f_{t s}:$ | $1 \ell_{t s}-p_{t}+p_{s} \geq 0$ |  |
|  |  | $\ell_{x y} \geq$ | 0 |

with $p_{t}=0$ and $p_{s}=1$.

## LP-Formulation of Maxflow



We can interpret the $\ell_{x y}$ value as assigning a length to every edge.
The value $p_{x}$ for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of $x$ to $t$ (where the distance from $s$ to $t$ is required to be 1 since $p_{s}=1$ ).

The constraint $p_{x} \leq \ell_{x y}+p_{y}$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $\left(d(x, t) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, t) \Rightarrow d(x, t) \leq \ell_{x y}+d(y, t)\right)$.

One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_{x}=1$ or $p_{x}=0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.

