## Chapter 8

## Analysis of Pattern Occurances

## Roland Aydin


#### Abstract

This paper will summarize the proof for the formula to compute the expected number of occurrences of a given pattern $H$ in a text of size $n$. The intuitive solution of $E\left[O_{n}(H)\right]=P(H)(n-m+1)$ will be verified utilising generating functions. Frequency analysis will rely on the decomposition of the text $T$ onto languages, the so-called initial, minimal, and tail languages. Going from there to their generating functions both for a Markovian and a Bernoulli environment, the formula will be shown to work due to properties of the respective generating functions.


### 8.1 Preliminaries

## Markov sequence

A sequence $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ of random variates is called a Markov sequence of order 1 iff , for any $n$,

$$
F\left(X_{n} \mid X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \ldots X_{1}\right)=F\left(X_{n} \mid X_{n-1}\right)
$$

i.e., if the conditional distribution $F$ of $X_{n}$, assuming $X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \ldots X_{1}$ equals the conditional distribution $F$ of $X_{n}$ assuming only $X_{n-1}$.

## Markov chain

If a Markov sequence of random variates $X_{n}$ take the discrete values $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}$ then

$$
P\left(x_{n}=a_{i n} \mid x_{n-1}=a_{i n-1}, \ldots, x_{1}=a_{i 1}\right)=P\left(x_{n}=a_{i n} \mid x_{n-1}=a_{i n-1}\right)
$$

and the sequence $x_{n}$ is called a Markov chain of order 1 .

## Correlation of patterns

A correlation of two patterns $X$ (size m$)$ and $Y$ is a string, denoted by $X Y$, over the set $\Omega=\{0,1\}$.

$$
|X Y|=|X|
$$

Each position $i$ can be computed as

$$
i=1 \Leftrightarrow \text { place } Y \text { at } X_{i} \wedge \text { all overlapping pairs are identicalelse } \quad i=0
$$

## Example of pattern correlation

Let $\Omega=\{M, P\}, X=M P M P P M$ and $Y=M P P M P$. Then $X Y$ can be deduced in the following manner:

whilst $Y X$ can be shown to equal 00010

## Representation of the correlation

Other representations of either string:

1. as a number in some base $t$. Thus, e.g. $X Y_{2}=9$
2. as a polynomial. Thus, e.g. $X Y_{t}=t^{3}+1$

## Autocorrelation

Furthermore, autocorrelation of $X$ can be defined as $X X$. It represents the periods of $X$, i.e. those shifts of $X$ that cause that pattern to overlap itself. Using $Y=M P P M P$ from our previous example, $Y Y$ evaluates to 10010 Using $A=M M M, A A$ evaluates to 111

## Autocorrelation set

Given a string $H$, the autocorrelation set $A_{H H}$ or just $A$ is defined as

$$
A_{H H}=\left\{H_{k+1}^{m}: H_{1}^{k}=H_{m-k+1}^{m}\right\}
$$

## Example of an autocorrelation set

Let $H=S O S$ The autocorrelation reveals to be

$$
H H=101
$$

whereas the autocorrelation set in that case is

$$
A=\{\epsilon, 01\}
$$

## Let's play a game

The Penny game - invented by Penney.
Each player chooses a pattern.
They then flip a coin until the pattern comes up consecutively. The player who chooses only one symbol ( $k$ times), has a chance to win of at least 0.5 This is because of the "optimal" autocorrelation.

### 8.2 Sources

## Bernoulli

A Bernoulli Source, or memoryless source, generates text randomly.
Every subsequent symbol (of a finite alphabet) is created independently of its predecessors, and the probability of each symbol is not necesserily the same.
If it is, the Source is called a symmetric, or unbiased Bernoulli Source.
If text over an alphabet $S$ is generated by a Bernoulli Source, then each symbol $s \in S$ always occurs with probability $P(s)$.

## Markovian Source

A Markovian Source generates symbols based not on the a priori probability of each symbol.
Instead, it only heeds a (finite) set of predecessors to ascertain the probability of each next symbol.
In order to do so, it requires a memory of previously emitted symbols.
Text generated by a Markovian Source is a realization of a Markov sequence of order $K$.
$K$ denotes the number of previous symbols that the probability of the next symbol depends on.
In our application, this sequence will be stationary and $K=1$, i.e. a first-order Markov sequence.
When computing the next symbol, we only need to observe the last symbol.
In our case ( $K=1$ ), the transition matrix is defined by

$$
P=\left\{p_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j \in S}
$$

where

$$
p_{i, j}=\text { Probability }\left(t_{k+1}=j \mid t_{k}=i\right)
$$

The matrix entry $(i, j)$ denotes the conditional probability of the next symbol being $j$ if the current symbol is $i$.

### 8.3 Generating functions of languages

## What is a language, after all

A language $L$ is a collection of words.
This collection must satisfy certain properties to belong to a specific language.
Thus, we can associate with a language $L$ its generating function $L(z)$.

## Generating functions

Given a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$, we know its generating function is defined as

$$
A(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

For sinister purposes, we represent it differently as

$$
A(z)=\sum_{\alpha \in S} z^{w(\alpha)}
$$

where $S$ is a set of objects (words ...) and $w(\alpha)$ is a weight function.
Henceforth we will interpret it as the size of $\alpha$, i.e. $w(\alpha)=|\alpha|$
The equivalence becomes evident when we set $a_{n}$ to be the number of objects $\alpha$ satisfying $w(\alpha)=n$. Now we have a more combinatorial view

## Generating function of a language

Now, for any language $L$, we define its generating function $L(z)$ as

$$
L(z)=\sum_{w \in L} P(w) z^{|w|}
$$

where $P(w)$ is the probability of word $w$ 's occurence and $|w|$ is the length of $w$. So the coefficient of $z^{|w|}$ is the sum of the probabilites all words of that length. In addition, we assume that $P(\epsilon)=1$. So every language includes the empty word (as we know).

## Conditional generating function

In addition, the $H$-conditional generating function of $L$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{H}(z) & =\sum_{w \in L} P\left(w \mid w_{-m}=h_{1} \ldots w_{-1}=h_{m}\right) z^{|w|} \\
& =\sum_{w \in L} P\left(w \mid w_{-m}^{-1}=H\right) z^{|w|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{-i}$ is the symbol preceding the first character of $w$ at distance $i$.
We use this definition for Markovian sources, where the probability depends on the previous symbols.

## Example: autocorrelation generating function

In our previous example, the autocorrelation set was

$$
A=\{\epsilon, 01\}
$$

The generating function of the set is

$$
A(z)=1+\frac{z^{2}}{4}
$$

given a Bernoulli source, and

$$
A_{S O S}(z)=1+p_{S O} p_{O S} z^{2}
$$

given a Markovian source of order one.

## Formulating our objective

We will now formulate the special generating functions whose closed form we will later strive to compute:

1. $T^{(r)}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{n}(H)=r\right) z^{n}$
2. $T(z, u)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} T^{(r)}(z) u^{r}=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{n}(H)=r\right) z^{n} u^{r}$

### 8.4 Declaring languages

## Introduction

Let $H$ be a given pattern.

- The initial language $R$ is the set of words containing only one occurrence of $H$, located at the right end.
- The tail language $U$ is defined as the set of words $u$ such that $H u$ has exactly one occurrence of $H$, which occurs at the left end.
- The minimal language $M$ is the set of words $w$ such that $H w$ has exactly two occurrences of $H$, located at its left and right ends.


## Component languages

We differentiate several special languages, given a pattern $H$. "." stands for concatenation of words.

1. $R=\left\{r: r \in T_{1} \wedge H\right.$ occurs at the right end of $\left.r\right\}$
2. $U=\left\{u: H \cdot u \in T_{1}\right\}$
3. $M=\left\{w: H \cdot w \in T_{2} \wedge H\right.$ occurs at the right end of $\left.H \cdot w\right\}$

### 8.5 Language relationships

## Qualities of $T_{r}$

At first, we will try to describe the languages $T$ and $T_{r}$ in terms of $R, M$ and $U$ : $\forall r \geq 1$ :

$$
T_{r}=R \cdot M^{r-1} \cdot U
$$

## Composition proof ( $T_{r}$ )

Proof:
First occurance of $H$ in a $T_{r}$ word determines the prefix $p$
which is in $R$.
From that prefix on, we look onward until the next occurance of $H$.
The found word $w$ is $\in M$.
After $r-1$ iterations, we add a $H$-devoid suffix, which is in $U$, because its prefix has $H$ at the end.

## Qualities of $T$

The "extended" version of $T_{r}$, its words including an arbitrary number of $H$ occurrences, can be composed similarily:

$$
T=R \cdot M^{*} \cdot U
$$

where $M^{*}:=\bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} M^{r}$

## Composition proof ( $T$ )

Proof:
A word belongs to $T$, if for some $1 \leq r<\infty$ it belongs to $T_{r}$. As $\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} M^{r-1}=\bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} M^{r}=M^{*}$, the assertion is proven.

## Four language relationships

Analyzing the relationships between $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{U}$ and R further, we introduce

1. $W$, the set of all words
2. $S$, the alphabet set
3. the operators " + " and "-", which denote disjoint union and language subtraction

## Four language relationships I

$$
\bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^{k}=W \cdot H+(A-\{e\})
$$

Proof:
$\leftarrow$ :
Let $k$ be the number how often $H$ occurs in $W \cdot H$.
$k \geq 1$.
The last occurrence of $H$ in every included word is on the right.
That means, that $W \cdot H \subseteq \bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^{k}$.
$\rightarrow$ :
Let $w \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^{k}$.
Iff $|w| \geq|H|$, then surely the inclusion is correct.
Iff $|w|<|H|$ (how can that be?), then $w \notin W \cdot H$.
But then, necessarily, $w \in A-\{\epsilon\}$, because the second $H$ in $H w$ overlaps with the first $H$ by definition (it is element of $M^{k}$ ), so $w$ must be in the autocorrelation set $A$.

## Four language relationships II

$$
U \cdot S=M+U-\{e\}
$$

Proof:
All words of $S$ consist of a single character $s$.
Given a word $u \in U$ and concatenating them, we differentiate two cases.
If Hus contains a second occurrence of $H$, it is clearly at the right end. Then $u s \in M$.
If Hus does contain only a single $H$, then us must be non-empty word of $U$.

## Four language relationships III

$$
H \cdot M=S \cdot R-(R-H)
$$

Proof: $\rightarrow$ : Let $s w$ be a word in $H \cdot M, s \in S$ (we can write every such word in this way WLOG).
$s w$ contains exactly two times $H$, evidently at its left, and also at its right end. Thus, $s w$ is also $\in S \cdot R$
$\leftarrow$ : If a word $s w H$ from $S \cdot R$ is not in $R$, then because it contains a second $H$ starting at the left end of $s w$, because $w H \in R$. Of course, in that case it is $\in H \cdot M$.

## Four language relationships IV

$$
T_{0} \cdot H=R \cdot A
$$

Proof:
Let $w H$ be $\in T_{0} \cdot H$. Then there can be either be one or more occurences of $H$ in $w H$, one of which is at the right end.
If there is no second one, then $w H$ is $\in R$ by definition of $R$
If, however, there is a second one, then it overlaps somehow with the first one.
So we view the word until the end of the first $H$, which is in $R$. Due to the overlapping, the remaining part is $\in A$.

## One more

Combining relationships II and III yields

$$
H \cdot U \cdot S-H \cdot U=(S-\epsilon) R
$$

No proof is necessary, as we have validated both ingredients.
Using II, the left side is $H(U \cdot S-U)=H \cdot M$
The right side is

$$
S \cdot R-R=S \cdot R-(R \cap S \cdot R) \quad=S \cdot R-(R-H)
$$

Together, that is just relationship III.

### 8.6 Languages \& Generating Functions

## in the bernoulli environment

We will now transcend from languages to their generating functions. Given any language $L_{1}$, we know its generating function to be

$$
A_{1}(z)=\sum_{w \in L_{1}} P(w) z^{|w|}
$$

So what is the the result of multiplying two languages (i.e. concatenating them) in respect to their gen. func.? What is $L_{3}=L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{3}(z) & =\sum_{w \in L_{3}} P(w) z^{|w|} \\
& =\sum_{w \in L_{1} \wedge w \in L_{2}} P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2}\right) z^{\left|w_{1}\right|+\left|w_{2}\right|} \\
& =\sum_{w \in L_{1}} P\left(w_{1}\right) z^{\left|w_{1}\right|} \sum_{w \in L_{2}} P\left(w_{2}\right) z^{\left|w_{2}\right|} \\
& =A_{1}(z) A_{2}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

! The assumption $P(w v)=P(w) P(v)$ only holds true with a memoryless source.

## Special Cases

A few particular cases:

- $S$ (alphabet set) $\Rightarrow S(z)=\sum_{s \in S} P(s) z^{|s|}=z$
- $L=S \cdot L_{1} \Rightarrow L(z)=z L_{1}(z)$
- $\{\epsilon\} \Rightarrow E(z)=\sum_{w \in\{\epsilon\}} P(w) z^{|w|}=1 \cdot 1=1$
- $H \Rightarrow H(z)=\sum_{w=H} P(H) z^{|H|}=P(H) z^{m}$
- $W$ (the set of all words) $\Rightarrow W(z)=\sum P(w) z^{|k|}=\sum_{k \geq 0} z^{k}=\frac{1}{1-z}$


### 8.7 Looking for Generating Functions

## Translating I

We will now attempt to translate our known language relationships into generating functions: In case I only, the formula we derive is correct just for a memoryless source.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^{k} & =W \cdot H+(A-\{e\}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{H}(z)^{k} & =W(z) \cdot P(H) z^{m}+A_{H}(z)-1 \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{H}(z)^{k}-1 & =\frac{1}{1-z} \cdot P(H) z^{m}+A_{H}(z)-1 \\
\frac{1}{1-M_{H}(z)} & =\frac{1}{1-z} \cdot P(H) z^{m}+A_{H}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Translating II

$$
\begin{aligned}
U \cdot S & =M+U-\{e\} \\
U \cdot S-U & =M-\{e\} \\
U_{H}(z) z-U_{H}(z) & =M_{H}(z)-1 \\
U_{H}(z)(z-1) & =M_{H}(z)-1 \\
U_{H}(z) & =\frac{M_{H}(z)-1}{(z-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Translating III

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \cdot M & =S \cdot R-(R-H) H \cdot M-H \quad=S \cdot R-R \\
P(H) z^{m} M_{H}(z)-P(H) z^{m} & =S(z) \cdot R(z)-R(z) \\
P(H) z^{m}\left(M_{H}(z)-1\right) & =R(z)(z-1) \\
R(z) & =P(H) z^{m} \frac{M_{H}(z)-1}{z-1} \\
R(z) & =P(H) z^{m} U_{H}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.8 Main findings I

$T^{(r)}(z)$
We remember, that for $r \geq 1$

$$
T_{r}=R \cdot M^{r-1} \cdot U
$$

We have now gleaned every component, and can translate it (for $r \geq 1$ ) into

$$
T^{(r)}(z)=R(z) M^{r-1}(z) U_{H}(z)
$$

$T(z, u)$
We do also remember, that

$$
T=R \cdot M^{*} \cdot U
$$

As $T$ is the language with any number of $H \mathrm{~s}$, its generating function is indeed ...

$$
T(z, u)=R(z) \frac{u}{1-u M_{H}(z)} U_{H}(z)
$$

### 8.9 On to other shores

## What is left to do?

We still have no formula of gathering $O_{n}(H)$, i.e. the frequency of $H$-occurrences $(|H|=m)$ in random text of length $n$ over an alphabet $S$ with $|S|=V$.
Let us make an educated guess, though. What we do not know, is how important overlapping is. Assuming to disregard that topic, the answer could be

$$
E\left[O_{n}(H)\right]=P(H)(n-m+1)
$$

It is.
But why?

## Using derivatives

Looking at our bivariate generating function of $T$,

$$
T(z, u)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{n}(H)=r\right) z^{n} u^{r}
$$

we notice that we would like the two sums to be reversed. Deriving it after $u \ldots$

$$
T_{u}(z, u)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{n}(H)=r\right) z^{n} r(=\# \mathrm{Occ}) u^{r-1}
$$

... and setting $u$ to 1 leads to ...

$$
T_{u}(z, 1)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{n}(H) r\right) z^{n}\right.
$$

## Proof Preparations

To shorten things, we introduce

$$
D_{H}(z)=(1-z) A_{H}(z)+z^{m} P(H)
$$

and rewrite $M_{H}(z)$ as

$$
M_{H}(z)=1+\frac{z-1}{D_{H}(z)}
$$

as well as

$$
U_{H}(z)=\frac{1}{D_{H}(z)}
$$

and

$$
R(z)=z^{m} P(H) \frac{1}{D_{H}(z)}
$$

## Deriving the closed form formula (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{u}(z, u) & =R(z) U_{H}(z) \frac{u}{\left(1-u M_{H}\right)} \frac{d}{d u} \\
& =R(z) U_{H}(z) \frac{(1-u M)+u M}{\left(1-u M_{H}\right)^{2}} \\
& =R(z) U_{H}(z) \frac{1}{\left(1-u M_{H}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Deriving the closed form formula (2)

$u$ is now set to 1 due to the previous calculus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{u}(z, 1) & =R(z) U_{H}(z) \frac{1}{\left(1-M_{H}\right)^{2}} \\
& =R(z) U_{H}(z)\left(1-1+\frac{z-1}{D_{H}(z)}\right)^{-2} \\
& =R(z) U_{H}(z) \frac{D_{H}(z)^{2}}{(z-1)^{2}} \\
& =R(z) \frac{1}{D_{H}(z)} \frac{D_{H}(z)^{2}}{(z-1)^{2}} \\
& =z^{m} P(H) \frac{1}{D_{H}(z)} \frac{D_{H}(z)}{(z-1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{z^{m} P(H)}{(z-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main findings II

As the text has length $n$, we are extracting the $n$th coefficient of $T_{u}(z, 1)$, and voilà

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[O_{n}\right] & =\left[z^{n}\right] T_{u}(z, 1) \\
& =P(H)\left[z^{n}\right] z^{m}(1-z)^{-2} \\
& =P(H)\left[z^{n-m}\right](1-z)^{-2} \\
& =(n-m+1) P(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

## About certainty

the variance of $E\left(O_{n}(H)\right.$ is, for a $r>1$ :

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left[O_{n}(H)\right]=n c_{1}+c_{2}+O\left(r^{-n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.c_{1}=P(H)\left(2 A_{H}(1)-1-(2 m-1) P(H)+2 P(H) E_{1}\right)\right) \\
c_{2}=P(H)((m-1)(3 m-1) P(H)-(m-1) \\
\\
\left.\left(2 A_{H}(1)-1\right)-2 A_{H}^{\prime}(1)\right)-2(2 m-1) \\
\left(P(H)^{2} E_{1}+2 E_{2} P(H)^{2}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$E_{1}, E_{2}$ are

$$
E_{1}=\frac{1}{\pi_{h_{1}}}[(P-\Pi) Z]_{h_{m}, h_{1}} E_{2} \quad=\frac{1}{\pi_{h_{1}}}\left[\left(P^{2}-\Pi\right) Z^{2}\right]_{h_{m}, h_{1}}
$$

Without going into detail (cf. literature references), we see that the Variance depens mainly on the length of the text plus a constant.

