# Chapter 8

# Analysis of Pattern Occurances

Roland Aydin

This paper will summarize the proof for the formula to compute the expected number of occurrences of a given pattern H in a text of size n. The intuitive solution of  $E[O_n(H)] = P(H)(n - m + 1)$  will be verified utilising generating functions. Frequency analysis will rely on the decomposition of the text T onto languages, the so-called initial, minimal, and tail languages. Going from there to their generating functions both for a Markovian and a Bernoulli environment, the formula will be shown to work due to properties of the respective generating functions.

# 8.1 Preliminaries

#### Markov sequence

A sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of random variates is called a *Markov sequence* of order 1 iff, for any n,

 $F(X_n|X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots, X_1) = F(X_n|X_{n-1})$ 

i.e., if the conditional distribution F of  $X_n$ , assuming  $X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, ..., X_1$  equals the conditional distribution F of  $X_n$  assuming only  $X_{n-1}$ .

#### Markov chain

If a Markov sequence of random variates  $X_n$  take the discrete values  $a_1, ..., a_N$  then

 $P(x_n = a_{in} | x_{n-1} = a_{in-1}, \dots, x_1 = a_{i1}) = P(x_n = a_{in} | x_{n-1} = a_{in-1})$ 

and the sequence  $x_n$  is called a *Markov chain* of order 1.

# Correlation of patterns

A correlation of two patterns X (size m) and Y is a string, denoted by XY, over the set  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$ .

$$|XY| = |X|$$

Each position i can be computed as

 $i = 1 \Leftrightarrow$  place Y at  $X_i \land$  all overlapping pairs are identically i = 0

## Example of pattern correlation

Let  $\Omega = \{M, P\}$ , X = MPMPPM and Y = MPPMP. Then XY can be deduced in the following manner:

| $\times$ : | НТНТТН |   |
|------------|--------|---|
| Υ:         | HTTHT  | 0 |
|            | НТТНТ  | 0 |
|            | H⊤TH⊤  | 1 |
|            | HTTHT  | 0 |
|            | НТТНТ  | 0 |
|            | H⊤TH⊤  | 1 |

whilst YX can be shown to equal 00010

## Representation of the correlation

Other representations of either string:

- 1. as a number in some base t. Thus, e.g.  $XY_2 = 9$
- 2. as a polynomial. Thus, e.g.  $XY_t = t^3 + 1$

#### Autocorrelation

Furthermore, *autocorrelation* of X can be defined as XX. It represents the periods of X, i.e. those shifts of X that cause that pattern to overlap itself. Using Y = MPPMP from our previous example, YY evaluates to 10010 Using A = MMM, AA evaluates to 111

## Autocorrelation set

Given a string H, the autocorrelation set  $A_{HH}$  or just A is defined as

$$A_{HH} = \{H_{k+1}^m : H_1^k = H_{m-k+1}^m\}$$

## Example of an autocorrelation set

Let H = SOS The autocorrelation reveals to be

$$HH = 101$$

whereas the autocorrelation set in that case is

 $A = \{\epsilon, 01\}$ 

#### 8.2. SOURCES

#### Let's play a game

The Penny game - invented by Penney.

Each player chooses a pattern.

They then flip a coin until the pattern comes up consecutively. The player who chooses only one symbol (k times), has a chance to win of at least 0.5 This is because of the "optimal" autocorrelation.

# 8.2 Sources

#### Bernoulli

A Bernoulli Source, or memoryless source, generates text randomly.

Every subsequent symbol (of a finite alphabet) is created independently of its predecessors, and the probability of each symbol is not necesserily the same.

If it is, the Source is called a *symmetric*, or *unbiased* Bernoulli Source.

If text over an alphabet S is generated by a Bernoulli Source, then each symbol  $s \in S$  always occurs with probability P(s).

## Markovian Source

A *Markovian Source* generates symbols based not on the *a priori* probability of each symbol.

Instead, it only heeds a (finite) set of predecessors to ascertain the probability of each next symbol.

In order to do so, it requires a *memory* of previously emitted symbols.

Text generated by a Markovian Source is a realization of a Markov sequence of order K.

K denotes the number of previous symbols that the probability of the next symbol depends on.

In our application, this sequence will be stationary and  $K=1, \mbox{ i.e. }$  a first-order Markov sequence.

When computing the next symbol, we only need to observe the last symbol. In our case (K = 1), the transition matrix is defined by

$$P = \{p_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in S}$$

where

$$p_{i,j} =$$
Probability  $(t_{k+1} = j | t_k = i)$ 

The matrix entry (i, j) denotes the conditional probability of the next symbol being j if the current symbol is i.

# 8.3 Generating functions of languages

## What is a language, after all

A language L is a collection of words. This collection must satisfy certain properties to belong to a specific language.

#### Generating functions

Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n>0}$ , we know its generating function is defined as

$$A(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n$$

For sinister purposes, we represent it differently as

$$A(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in S} z^{w(\alpha)}$$

where S is a set of objects (words ...) and  $w(\alpha)$  is a weight function. Henceforth we will interpret it as the size of  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $w(\alpha) = |\alpha|$ 

The equivalence becomes evident when we set  $a_n$  to be the number of objects  $\alpha$  satisfying  $w(\alpha) = n$ . Now we have a more combinatorial view

## Generating function of a language

Now, for any language L, we define its generating function L(z) as

$$L(z) = \sum_{w \in L} P(w) z^{|w|}$$

where P(w) is the probability of word w's occurence and |w| is the length of w. So the coefficient of  $z^{|w|}$  is the sum of the probabilites all words of that length. In addition, we assume that  $P(\epsilon) = 1$ . So every language includes the empty word (as we know).

### Conditional generating function

In addition, the H-conditional generating function of L is given as

$$L_H(z) = \sum_{w \in L} P(w|w_{-m} = h_1 \dots w_{-1} = h_m) z^{|w|}$$
$$= \sum_{w \in L} P(w|w_{-m}^{-1} = H) z^{|w|}$$

where  $w_{-i}$  is the symbol preceding the first character of w at distance i. We use this definition for Markovian sources, where the probability depends on the previous symbols.

#### Example: autocorrelation generating function

In our previous example, the autocorrelation set was

$$4 = \{\epsilon, 01\}$$

The generating function of the set is

$$A(z) = 1 + \frac{z^2}{4}$$

given a Bernoulli source, and

$$A_{SOS}(z) = 1 + p_{SO}p_{OS}z^2$$

given a Markovian source of order one.

#### Formulating our objective

We will now formulate the special generating functions whose closed form we will later strive to compute:

- 1.  $T^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{n>0} Pr(O_n(H) = r)z^n$
- 2.  $T(z,u) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} T^{(r)}(z)u^r = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr(O_n(H) = r)z^n u^r$

# 8.4 Declaring languages

#### Introduction

Let H be a given pattern.

- The *initial language* R is the set of words containing only **one** occurrence of H, located at the **right** end.
- The *tail language* U is defined as the set of words u such that Hu has exactly **one** occurrence of H, which occurs at the **left** end.
- The minimal language M is the set of words w such that Hw has exactly two occurrences of H, located at its **left** and **right** ends.

#### Component languages

We differentiate several special languages, given a pattern H. "." stands for concatenation of words.

1.  $R = \{r : r \in T_1 \land H \text{ occurs at the right end of } r\}$ 

2. 
$$U = \{u : H \cdot u \in T_1\}$$

3.  $M = \{ w : H \cdot w \in T_2 \land H \text{ occurs at the right end of } H \cdot w \}$ 

# 8.5 Language relationships

#### Qualities of $T_r$

At first, we will try to describe the languages T and  $T_r$  in terms of R,~M and  $U\colon \forall r\geq 1$  :

$$T_r = R \cdot M^{r-1} \cdot U$$

# Composition proof $(T_r)$

Proof: First occurance of H in a  $T_r$  word determines the prefix pwhich is in R. From that prefix on, we look onward until the next occurance of H. The found word w is  $\in M$ . After r-1 iterations, we add a H-devoid suffix, which is in U, because its prefix has H at the end.

#### Qualities of T

The "extended" version of  $T_r$ , its words including an arbitrary number of H occurrences, can be composed similarly:

$$T = R \cdot M^* \cdot U$$

where  $M^* := \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} M^r$ 

# Composition proof (T)

Proof:

A word belongs to T, if for some  $1 \le r < \infty$  it belongs to  $T_r$ . As  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} M^{r-1} = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} M^r = M^*$ , the assertion is proven.

# Four language relationships

Analyzing the relationships between M, U and R further, we introduce

- 1. W, the set of all words
- 2. S, the alphabet set
- 3. the operators "+" and "-", which denote disjoint union and language subtraction

#### Four language relationships I

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 1} M^k = W \cdot H + (A - \{e\})$$

Proof:

 $\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow : \\ \text{Let } k \text{ be the number how often } H \text{ occurs in } W \cdot H. \\ k \geq 1. \\ \text{The } last \text{ occurrence of } H \text{ in every included word is on the right.} \\ \text{That means, that } W \cdot H \subseteq \bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^k. \\ \rightarrow : \\ \text{Let } w \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} M^k. \\ \text{Iff } |w| \geq |H|, \text{ then surely the inclusion is correct.} \end{array}$ 

Iff |w| < |H| (how can that be?), then  $w \notin W \cdot H$ .

But then, necessarily,  $w \in A - \{\epsilon\}$ , because the second H in Hw overlaps with the first H by definition (it is element of  $M^k$ ), so w must be in the autocorrelation set A.

## Four language relationships II

$$U \cdot S = M + U - \{e\}$$

Proof:

All words of S consist of a single character s.

Given a word  $u \in U$  and concatenating them, we differentiate two cases.

If Hus contains a second occurrence of H, it is clearly at the right end. Then  $us \in M$ . If Hus does contain only a single H, then us must be non-empty word of U.

#### Four language relationships III

$$H \cdot M = S \cdot R - (R - H)$$

Proof:  $\rightarrow$ : Let *sw* be a word in  $H \cdot M$ ,  $s \in S$  (we can write every such word in this way WLOG).

sw contains exactly two times H, evidently at its left, and also at its right end. Thus, sw is also  $\in S\cdot R$ 

 $\leftarrow$ : If a word swH from  $S \cdot R$  is not in R, then because it contains a second H starting at the left end of sw, because  $wH \in R$ . Of course, in that case it is  $\in H \cdot M$ .

# Four language relationships IV

$$T_0 \cdot H = R \cdot A$$

Proof:

Let wH be  $\in T_0 \cdot H$ . Then there can be either be one or more occurences of H in wH, one of which is at the right end.

If there is no second one, then wH is  $\in R$  by definition of R

If, however, there is a second one, then it overlaps somehow with the first one.

So we view the word until the end of the *first* H, which is in R. Due to the overlapping, the remaining part is  $\in A$ .

#### One more

Combining relationships II and III yields

$$H \cdot U \cdot S - H \cdot U = (S - \epsilon)R$$

No proof is necessary, as we have validated both ingredients. Using II, the left side is  $H(U \cdot S - U) = H \cdot M$ The right side is

$$S \cdot R - R = S \cdot R - (R \cap S \cdot R) = S \cdot R - (R - H)$$

Together, that is just relationship III.

# 8.6 Languages & Generating Functions

## in the bernoulli environment

We will now transcend from languages to their generating functions. Given any language  $L_1$ , we know its generating function to be

$$A_1(z) = \sum_{w \in L_1} P(w) z^{|w|}$$

So what is the the result of multiplying two languages (i.e. concatenating them) in respect to their gen. func.? What is  $L_3 = L_1 \cdot L_2$ ?

$$A_{3}(z) = \sum_{w \in L_{3}} P(w) z^{|w|}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{w \in L_{1} \land w \in L_{2}} P(w_{1}) P(w_{2}) z^{|w_{1}| + |w_{2}|}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{w \in L_{1}} P(w_{1}) z^{|w_{1}|} \sum_{w \in L_{2}} P(w_{2}) z^{|w_{2}|}$$
  
= 
$$A_{1}(z) A_{2}(z)$$

! The assumption P(wv) = P(w)P(v) only holds true with a memoryless source.

## **Special Cases**

A few particular cases:

- S (alphabet set)  $\Rightarrow S(z) = \sum_{s \in S} P(s) z^{|s|} = z$
- $L = S \cdot L_1 \Rightarrow L(z) = zL_1(z)$
- $\{\epsilon\} \Rightarrow E(z) = \sum_{w \in \{\epsilon\}} P(w) z^{|w|} = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$
- $H \Rightarrow H(z) = \sum_{w=H} P(H) z^{|H|} = P(H) z^m$
- W (the set of all words)  $\Rightarrow W(z) = \sum P(w)z^{|k|} = \sum_{k\geq 0} z^k = \frac{1}{1-z}$

# 8.7 Looking for Generating Functions

## Translating I

We will now attempt to translate our known language relationships into generating functions: In case I only, the formula we derive is correct just for a memoryless source.

$$\bigcup_{k \ge 1} M^k = W \cdot H + (A - \{e\})$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_H(z)^k = W(z) \cdot P(H)z^m + A_H(z) - 1$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_H(z)^k - 1 = \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot P(H)z^m + A_H(z) - 1$$
$$\frac{1}{1-M_H(z)} = \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot P(H)z^m + A_H(z)$$

Translating II

$$U \cdot S = M + U - \{e\}$$
$$U \cdot S - U = M - \{e\}$$
$$U_H(z)z - U_H(z) = M_H(z) - 1$$
$$U_H(z)(z - 1) = M_H(z) - 1$$
$$U_H(z) = \frac{M_H(z) - 1}{(z - 1)}$$

#### Translating III

$$H \cdot M = S \cdot R - (R - H)H \cdot M - H \qquad = S \cdot R - R$$

$$P(H)z^{m}M_{H}(z) - P(H)z^{m} = S(z) \cdot R(z) - R(z)$$

$$P(H)z^{m}(M_{H}(z) - 1) = R(z)(z - 1)$$

$$R(z) = P(H)z^{m}\frac{M_{H}(z) - 1}{z - 1}$$

$$R(z) = P(H)z^{m}U_{H}(z)$$

# 8.8 Main findings I

 $T^{(r)}(z)$ 

We remember, that for  $r\geq 1$ 

$$T_r = R \cdot M^{r-1} \cdot U$$

We have now gleaned every component, and can translate it (for  $r \ge 1$ ) into

$$T^{(r)}(z) = R(z)M^{r-1}(z)U_H(z)$$

T(z, u)

We do also remember, that

$$T = R \cdot M^* \cdot U$$

As T is the language with any number of Hs, its generating function is indeed ...

$$T(z,u) = R(z)\frac{u}{1 - uM_H(z)}U_H(z)$$

# 8.9 On to other shores

#### What is left to do?

We still have no formula of gathering  $O_n(H)$ , i.e. the frequency of *H*-occurrences (|H| = m) in random text of length *n* over an alphabet *S* with |S| = V. Let us make an educated guess, though. What we do not know, is how important *overlapping* is. Assuming to disregard that topic, the answer *could* be

$$E[O_n(H)] = P(H)(n - m + 1)$$

It is. But why?

## Using derivatives

Looking at our bivariate generating function of T,

$$T(z,u) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr(O_n(H) = r) z^n u^r$$

we notice that we would like the two sums to be reversed. Deriving it after u ...

$$T_u(z, u) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr(O_n(H) = r) z^n r \; (=\#\text{Occ}) \; u^{r-1}$$

 $\dots$  and setting u to 1 leads to  $\dots$ 

$$T_u(z,1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} Pr(O_n(H)r)z^n)$$

# **Proof Preparations**

To shorten things, we introduce

$$D_H(z) = (1-z)A_H(z) + z^m P(H)$$

and rewrite  $M_H(z)$  as

$$M_H(z) = 1 + \frac{z - 1}{D_H(z)}$$

as well as

$$U_H(z) = \frac{1}{D_H(z)}$$

and

$$R(z) = z^m P(H) \frac{1}{D_H(z)}$$

# Deriving the closed form formula (1)

$$T_u(z, u) = R(z)U_H(z)\frac{u}{(1 - uM_H)}\frac{d}{du}$$
  
=  $R(z)U_H(z)\frac{(1 - uM) + uM}{(1 - uM_H)^2}$   
=  $R(z)U_H(z)\frac{1}{(1 - uM_H)^2}$ 

# Deriving the closed form formula (2)

 $\boldsymbol{u}$  is now set to 1 due to the previous calculus:

$$T_u(z,1) = R(z)U_H(z)\frac{1}{(1-M_H)^2}$$
  
=  $R(z)U_H(z)(1-1+\frac{z-1}{D_H(z)})^{-2}$   
=  $R(z)U_H(z)\frac{D_H(z)^2}{(z-1)^2}$   
=  $R(z)\frac{1}{D_H(z)}\frac{D_H(z)^2}{(z-1)^2}$   
=  $z^m P(H)\frac{1}{D_H(z)}\frac{D_H(z)}{(z-1)^2}$   
=  $\frac{z^m P(H)}{(z-1)^2}$ 

## Main findings II

As the text has length n, we are extracting the nth coefficient of  $T_u(z, 1)$ , and voilà

$$E[O_n] = [z^n]T_u(z, 1)$$
  
=  $P(H)[z^n]z^m(1-z)^{-2}$   
=  $P(H)[z^{n-m}](1-z)^{-2}$   
=  $(n-m+1)P(H)$ 

## About certainty

the variance of  $E(O_n(H))$  is, for a r > 1:

$$Var[O_n(H)] = nc_1 + c_2 + O(r^{-n})$$

where

$$c_1 = P(H)(2A_H(1) - 1 - (2m - 1)P(H) + 2P(H)E_1))$$

$$c_{2} = P(H)((m-1)(3m-1)P(H) - (m-1))$$
  
(2A<sub>H</sub>(1) - 1) - 2A'\_{H}(1)) - 2(2m-1)  
(P(H)<sup>2</sup>E\_{1} + 2E\_{2}P(H)<sup>2</sup>)

 $E_1, E_2$  are

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{\pi_{h_1}} [(P - \Pi)Z]_{h_m, h_1} E_2 \qquad \qquad = \frac{1}{\pi_{h_1}} [(P^2 - \Pi)Z^2]_{h_m, h_1}$$

Without going into detail (cf. literature references), we see that the Variance depens mainly on the length of the text plus a constant.