There are different types of complexity bounds:

best-case complexity:

 $C_{\rm bc}(n) := \min\{C(x) \mid |x| = n\}$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

worst-case complexity:

 $C_{wc}(n) := \max\{C(x) \mid |x| = n\}$

Usually moderately easy to analyze; sometimes too pessimistic.

average case complexity:

$$C_{\text{avg}}(n) := \frac{1}{|I_n|} \sum_{|x|=n} C(x)$$

more general: probability measure μ

$$C_{\mathrm{avg}}(n) := \sum_{x \in I_n} \mu(x) \cdot C(x)$$

EADS ||||||| © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke 4 Modelling Issues

5 Asymptotic Notation

We are usually not interested in exact running times, but only in an asymtotic classification of the running time, that ignores constant factors and constant additive offsets.

- We are usually interested in the running times for large values of *n*. Then constant additive terms do not play an important role.
- An exact analysis (e.g. *exactly* counting the number of operations in a RAM) may be hard, but wouldn't lead to more precise results as the computational model is already quite a distance from reality.
- A linear speed-up (i.e., by a constant factor) is always possible by e.g. implementing the algorithm on a faster machine.
- Running time should be expressed by simple functions.

27

input length of

26

instance xset of instances of length *n*

|x|

There are different types of complexity bounds:

amortized complexity:

The average cost of data structure operations over a worst case sequence of operations.

randomized complexity:

The algorithm may use random bits. Expected running time (over all possible choices of random bits) for a fixed input x. Then take the worst-case over all x with |x| = n.

וחחוו	EADS
	© Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

4 Modelling Issues

Asymptotic Notation

Formal Definition

Let *f* denote functions from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{R}^+ .

- $\mathcal{O}(f) = \{g \mid \exists c > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \le c \cdot f(n)]\}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow not faster than f)
- $\bullet \ \Omega(f) = \{g \mid \exists c > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 \colon [g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n)]\}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow not slower than f)
- $\bullet \ \Theta(f) = \Omega(f) \cap \mathcal{O}(f)$ (functions that asymptotically have the same growth as f)
- $o(f) = \{g \mid \forall c > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \le c \cdot f(n)]\}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow slower than f)
- $\omega(f) = \{g \mid \forall c > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n)]\}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow faster than f)

EADS

26

Asymptotic Notation

There is an equivalent definition using limes notation (assuming that the respective limes exists). f and g are functions from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{R}^+ .

►
$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$
: $0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} < \infty$
► $g \in \Omega(f)$: $0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} \le \infty$
► $g \in \Theta(f)$: $0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} < \infty$
► $g \in o(f)$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0$
► $g \in \omega(f)$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = \infty$
► $g \in \omega(f)$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = \infty$
► There also exist versions for arbitrary functions, and for the case that the limes is not infinity.
► DS © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

Asymptotic Notation

Ϊ

Abuse of notation

4. People write $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$, when they mean $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(g(n))$. Again this is not an equality.

EADS © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

30

Asymptotic Notation

Abuse of notation

- 1. People write f = O(g), when they mean $f \in O(g)$. This is **not** an equality (how could a function be equal to a set of functions).
- 2. People write $f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$, when they mean $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$, with $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \mapsto f(n)$, and $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \mapsto g(n)$.
- 3. People write e.g. h(n) = f(n) + o(g(n)) when they mean that there exists a function $z : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+, n \mapsto z(n), z \in o(g)$ such that $h(n) \leq f(n) + z(n)$.

2. In this context $f(n)$ do function f evaluated a it is a shorthand for the (leaving out domain are only giving the rule of of the function).	3. This is particularly useful if you do no <i>n</i> , but instead function itself codomain and correspondence	t (- 1 r-
EADS	5 Asymptotic Notation	n

Asymptotic Notation

Lemma 3

 \mathbb{N}

Let f, g be functions with the property $\exists n_0 > 0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : f(n) > 0$ (the same for g). Then

- $c \cdot f(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ for any constant c
- $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) + \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(f(n) + g(n))$
- $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) \cdot \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(f(n) \cdot g(n))$
- $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) + \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$

The expressions also hold for Ω . Note that this means that $f(n) + g(n) \in \Theta(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$.

Asymptotic Notation

Comments

- Do not use asymptotic notation within induction proofs.
- ► For any constants *a*, *b* we have log_a n = Θ(log_b n). Therefore, we will usually ignore the base of a logarithm within asymptotic notation.
- In general $\log n = \log_2 n$, i.e., we use 2 as the default base for the logarithm.

C Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke 3		5 Asymptotic Notation	
	🛛 🛄 🔲 🕞 Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		32

Recurrences How do we bring the expression for the number of comparisons (≈ running time) into a closed form? For this we need to solve the recurrence.

6 Recurrences

Algorithm 2 mergesort(listL)
1: $s \leftarrow size(L)$
2: if $s \leq 1$ return L
3: $L_1 \leftarrow L[1 \cdots \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor]$
4: $L_2 \leftarrow L[\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \cdots n]$
5: mergesort(L_1)
6: mergesort(L_2)
7: $L \leftarrow \operatorname{merge}(L_1, L_2)$
8: return L

This algorithm requires

$$T(n) \le 2T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + \mathcal{O}(n)$$

comparisons when n > 1 and 0 comparisons when $n \le 1$.

רח (הה) EADS	6 Recurrences	
🛛 🛄 🗋 🕜 Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		33

Methods for Solving Recurrences

1. Guessing+Induction

Guess the right solution and prove that it is correct via induction. It needs experience to make the right guess.

2. Master Theorem

For a lot of recurrences that appear in the analysis of algorithms this theorem can be used to obtain tight asymptotic bounds. It does not provide exact solutions.

3. Characteristic Polynomial

Linear homogenous recurrences can be solved via this method.