We need to find paths efficiently.

- We need to find paths efficiently.
- ▶ We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.



- We need to find paths efficiently.
- ▶ We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.



- We need to find paths efficiently.
- We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.

- Choose path with maximum bottleneck capacity.
- Choose path with sufficiently large bottleneck capacity.
- · Choose the shortest augmenting path.



#### Intuition:

Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.



- Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.
- Don't worry about finding the exact bottleneck.




- Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.
- Don't worry about finding the exact bottleneck.
- Maintain scaling parameter  $\Delta$ .




- Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.
- Don't worry about finding the exact bottleneck.
- Maintain scaling parameter  $\Delta$ .
- ▶  $G_f(\Delta)$  is a sub-graph of the residual graph  $G_f$  that contains only edges with capacity at least  $\Delta$ .



- Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.
- Don't worry about finding the exact bottleneck.
- Maintain scaling parameter  $\Delta$ .
- $G_f(\Delta)$  is a sub-graph of the residual graph  $G_f$  that contains only edges with capacity at least  $\Delta$ .





```
Algorithm 46 maxflow(G, s, t, c)
1: foreach e \in E do f_e \leftarrow 0;
2: \Delta \leftarrow 2^{\lceil \log_2 C \rceil}
3: while \Delta \geq 1 do
   G_f(\Delta) \leftarrow \Delta-residual graph
4:
5: while there is augmenting path P in G_f(\Delta) do
6: f \leftarrow \operatorname{augment}(f, c, P)
7: \operatorname{update}(G_f(\Delta))
8: \Delta \leftarrow \Delta/2
9: return f
```



### **Assumption:**

All capacities are integers between 1 and  $\mathcal{C}$ .



### **Assumption:**

All capacities are integers between 1 and C.

#### Invariant:

All flows and capacities are/remain integral throughout the algorithm.



### **Assumption:**

All capacities are integers between 1 and C.

#### Invariant:

All flows and capacities are/remain integral throughout the algorithm.

#### Correctness:

The algorithm computes a maxflow:

▶ because of integrality we have  $G_f(1) = G_f$ 



### **Assumption:**

All capacities are integers between 1 and C.

#### Invariant:

All flows and capacities are/remain integral throughout the algorithm.

#### Correctness:

The algorithm computes a maxflow:

- because of integrality we have  $G_f(1) = G_f$
- therefore after the last phase there are no augmenting paths anymore



### **Assumption:**

All capacities are integers between 1 and C.


#### Invariant:

All flows and capacities are/remain integral throughout the algorithm.

#### Correctness:

The algorithm computes a maxflow:

- because of integrality we have  $G_f(1) = G_f$
- therefore after the last phase there are no augmenting paths anymore
- this means we have a maximum flow.



Lemma 60

There are  $\lceil \log C \rceil$  iterations over  $\Delta$ .

Proof: obvious.

FADS

### Lemma 60

There are  $\lceil \log C \rceil$  iterations over  $\Delta$ .

Proof: obvious.

### Lemma 61

Let f be the flow at the end of a  $\Delta$ -phase. Then the maximum flow is smaller than  $\mathrm{val}(f) + 2m\Delta$ .

Proof: less obvious, but simple:



### Lemma 60

There are  $\lceil \log C \rceil$  iterations over  $\Delta$ .

Proof: obvious.

### Lemma 61

Let f be the flow at the end of a  $\Delta$ -phase. Then the maximum flow is smaller than  $\mathrm{val}(f) + 2m\Delta$ .

**Proof:** less obvious, but simple:

▶ An s-t cut in  $G_f(\Delta)$  gives me an upper bound on the amount of flow that my algorithm can still add to f.



### Lemma 60

There are  $\lceil \log C \rceil$  iterations over  $\Delta$ .


Proof: obvious.

### Lemma 61

Let f be the flow at the end of a  $\Delta$ -phase. Then the maximum flow is smaller than  $val(f) + 2m\Delta$ .

Proof: less obvious, but simple:

- An s-t cut in  $G_f(\Delta)$  gives me an upper bound on the amount of flow that my algorithm can still add to f.
- ▶ The edges that currently have capacity at most  $\Delta$  in  $G_f$  form an s-t cut with capacity at most  $2m\Delta$ .



Lemma 62

There are at most 2m augmentations per scaling-phase.



#### Lemma 62

There are at most 2m augmentations per scaling-phase.

### **Proof:**

Let f be the flow at the end of the previous phase.



#### Lemma 62

There are at most 2m augmentations per scaling-phase.

### **Proof:**

- Let *f* be the flow at the end of the previous phase.
- $ightharpoonup \operatorname{val}(f^*) \le \operatorname{val}(f) + 2m\Delta$



### Lemma 62

There are at most 2m augmentations per scaling-phase.

### **Proof:**

- ▶ Let *f* be the flow at the end of the previous phase.
- $\operatorname{val}(f^*) \le \operatorname{val}(f) + 2m\Delta$
- each augmentation increases flow by  $\Delta$ .



### Lemma 62

There are at most 2m augmentations per scaling-phase.

### **Proof:**

- ▶ Let *f* be the flow at the end of the previous phase.
- $\operatorname{val}(f^*) \le \operatorname{val}(f) + 2m\Delta$
- each augmentation increases flow by  $\Delta$ .

### Theorem 63

We need  $\mathcal{O}(m \log C)$  augmentations. The algorithm can be implemented in time  $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \log C)$ .

