









#### **Pre and Post**

• Goal (for post):

given

- an automaton A recognizing a set X, and - a transducer T recognizing a relation Rconstruct an automaton B recognizing the set  $\{ y \mid \exists x \in X : (x, y) \in R \}$ 

We slightly modify the construction for join.



Instead of:

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{01} \\ q_{02} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{ \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} \\ q_{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iff}$$

for some letter c1

we now use

 $\begin{bmatrix} 9_{01} \\ 9_{02} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{b_1} \begin{bmatrix} 9_{11} \\ 9_{12} \end{bmatrix} \text{ iff}$ 





#### From Join to Post

*Join*( $T_1, T_2$ ) **Input:** transducers  $T_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma \times \Sigma, \delta_1, q_{01}, F_1), T_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma \times \Sigma, \delta_2, q_{02}, F_2)$ **Output:** transducer  $T_1 \circ T_2 = (Q, \Sigma \times \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 

- $1 \quad Q, \delta, F' \leftarrow \emptyset; \ q_0 \leftarrow [q_{01}, q_{02}]$
- $2 \quad W \leftarrow \{[q_{01},q_{02}]\}$
- 3 while  $W \neq \emptyset$  do
- 4 **pick**  $[q_1, q_2]$  from W
- 5 **add**  $[q_1, q_2]$  to Q
- 6 if  $q_1 \in F_1$  and  $q_2 \in F_2$  then add  $[q_1, q_2]$  to F'
- 7 **for all**  $(q_1, (a, c), q'_1) \in \delta_1, (q_2, (c, b), q'_2) \in \delta_2$  **do**
- 8 **add**  $([q_1, q_2], (a, b), [q'_1, q'_2])$  to  $\delta$
- 9 **if**  $[q'_1, q'_2] \notin Q$  then add  $[q'_1, q'_2]$  to W
- 10  $F \leftarrow \mathbf{PadClosure}((Q, \Sigma \times \Sigma \delta, q_0, F'), (\#, \#))$





#### Example: compute the set { f(n) | n multiple of 3 }





5 Implementing operations on relations using finite automata





#### 6. Some pattern matching

Given

- a word w (the text) of length n, and
- a regular expression p (the pattern) of length m,

determine the smallest number k' such that there is a subword  $w_{k,k'}$  of w with

 $w_{k,k'} \in L(p)$ .

**Remark:** We here minimize the right end of the matching subword. To make a match unique, one could require *e.g.*, that its length is minimal (or maximal).





## NFA-based solution

PatternMatchingNFA(t, p)

**Input:** text  $t = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^+$ , pattern  $p \in \Sigma^*$ 

**Output:** the first occurrence of p in t, or  $\perp$  if no such occurrence exists.

- 1  $A \leftarrow RegtoNFA(\Sigma^* p)$
- $2 \quad S \leftarrow \{q_0\}$
- 3 **for all** k = 0 to n 1 **do**
- 4 **if**  $S \cap F \neq \emptyset$  then return *k*

5 
$$S \leftarrow \delta(S, a_{k+1})$$

- 6 return  $\perp$
- Line 1 takes  $O(m^3)$  time, output has O(m) states
- Loop is executed at most *n* times
- One iteration takes  $O(s^2)$  time, where s is the number of states of A
- Since s = O(m), the total runtime is  $O(m^3 + nm^2)$ , and  $O(nm^2)$  for  $m \le n$ .



### **DFA-based solution**

PatternMatchingDFA(t, p)

**Input:** text  $t = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^+$ , pattern p

**Output:** the first occurrence of p in t, or  $\perp$  if no such occurrence exists.

- 1  $A \leftarrow NFAtoDFA(RegtoNFA(\Sigma^* p))$
- 2  $q \leftarrow q_0$
- 3 **for all** k = 0 to n 1 **do**
- 4 **if**  $q \in F$  then return k

5 
$$q \leftarrow \delta(q, a_{k+1})$$

- 6 return  $\perp$
- Line 1 takes 2<sup>0(m)</sup> time
- Loop is executed at most *n* times
- One iteration takes constant time
- Total runtime is  $O(n) + 2^{O(m)}$



## The word case

- The pattern *p* is a word of length *m*
- Naive algorithm: move a window of size m along the word one letter at a time, and compare with p after each step. Runtime: O(nm)
- We give an algorithm with O(n + m) runtime for any alphabet of size  $0 \le |\Sigma| \le n$ .
- First we explore in detail the shape of the DFA for Σ\*p.



















# Intuition



- Transitions of the "spine" correspond to hits: the next letter is the one that "makes progress" towards nano
- Other transitions correspond to misses, i.e., "wrong letters" and "throw the automaton back"





- For every state *i* = 0,1,..., 4 of the NFA there is exactly one state *S* of the DFA such that *i* is the largest state of *S*.
- For every state S of the DFA, with the exception of  $S = \{0\}$ , the result of removing the largest state is again a state of the DFA.







- For every state *i* = 0,1,..., 4 of the NFA there is exactly one state *S* of the DFA such that *i* is the largest state of *S*.
- For every state S of the DFA, with the exception of  $S = \{0\}$ , the result of removing the largest state is again a state of the DFA.
- Do these properties hold for every pattern p?



#### Heads and tails, hits and misses

- Head of S, denoted h(S) : largest state of S
- Tail of S, denoted t(S) : rest of the state
- Example:  $h(\{3,1,0\}) = 3, t(\{3,1,0\}) = \{1,0\}$
- Given a state *S*, the letter leading to the next state in the "spine" is the (unique) hit letter for *S*
- All other letters are miss letters for *S*
- Example: hit for {3,1,0} is *o*, whereas *n* or *a* are misses



Fund. Prop: Let S<sub>k</sub> be the k-th state picked from the worklist during the execution of NFAtoDFA(A<sub>p</sub>).
(1) h(S<sub>k</sub>) = k,
(2) If k > 0, then t(S<sub>k</sub>) = S<sub>l</sub> for some l < k</li>

Proof Idea:

- (1) and (2) hold for  $S_0 = \{0\}$ .
- For  $S_k$  we look at  $\delta(S_k, a)$  for each a, where  $\delta$  transition relation of  $A_p$ .
- By i.h. we have  $S_k = \{k\} \cup S_l$  for some l < k
- We distinguish two cases: *a* is a hit for *S<sub>k</sub>*, and *a* is a miss for *S<sub>k</sub>*.



• 
$$\delta(S_{k}, a) = \delta(k, a) \cup \delta(S_{l}, a)$$







• 
$$\delta(S_k, a) = \delta(k, a) \cup \delta(S_l, a)$$





• 
$$\delta(S_{k}, a) = \delta(k, a) \cup \delta(S_{l}, a)$$







• 
$$\delta(S_{k}, a) = \delta(k, a) \cup \delta(S_{l}, a)$$







• 
$$\delta(S_{k}, a) = \delta(k, a) \cup \delta(S_{l}, a)$$







#### Consequences

Prop: The result of applying *NFAtoDFA*( $A_p$ ), where  $A_p$  is the obvious NFA for  $\Sigma^* p$ , yields a minimal DFA with m states and  $|\Sigma|m$  transitions.

Proof: All states of the DFA accept different languages.

So: concatenating *NFAtoDFA* and *PatternMatchingDFA* yields a  $O(n + |\Sigma|m)$  algorithm.

- Good enough for constant alphabet
- Not good enough for  $|\Sigma| = O(n)$



